

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_89751
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors for Plasmodium falciparum recurrence in artemether lumefantrine treated population from Bushenyi district, Uganda
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The method and source of selecting of participants was clear and mentioned in the methodology section but what was the sample size? Was it representative to the targeted population? And how it was calculated?	
Minor REVISION comments	The objective in abstract was not clear. Add one sentence refer to the aim of the study e.g the study aimed to. ..., the aim should be given enough information to allow method to be fully understood. The types of research design should be mentioned in the methodology section (cross section). The time of the study must be mentioned in the methodology section. As a questionnaire used in this study the results of the validity and reliability should be mentioned in the methodology section (is there was pilot study what about consistency of the questions in the questionnaire). Besides the questionnaire was advanced to be provided in the end. Is there any inclusion and exclusion criteria of the participants? The baseline characteristics of the participants should be mentioned in the first paragraph of the results section. Are there any drop out of participants? Is there any limitation of the study?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes The ethical approval of the study was sought from Mbarara University of Science and Technology (MUST), Institutional Research and Ethics Committee (IREC) on Human Research (Approval no 06/01-17) and Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (Approval no HS2241). All research protocols were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the committees on human experimentation laid down in the Helsinki declaration of 1975 as revised in 2000. Permission was sought from the community leadership. Written consent was obtained from the participants after clearly explaining the purpose and procedures behind the study. Moreover, privacy and confidentiality of the participants was highly maintained during the entire period of the study.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Belquis Abdullah Ahmed Farea
Department, University & Country	National Malaria Control Program, Yemen