

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_90156
Title of the Manuscript:	Carriage of HBs antigen and hepatitis C virus in people infected with human immunodeficiency virus at the Grand-Yoff General Hospital in Dakar, Senegal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Comments: 1. Title: Needs to be more informative. Was it a cross sectional/longitudinal study? Follow up was mentioned in study subjects; hence the above doubt needs to be clarified 2. Lot of spelling and grammatical errors 3. Introduction: references are not in chronological order throughout the study 4. Abbreviations were used without expanding at the beginning 5. Non standardised shortforms were used 6. Exclusion criteris: They dud not mention if those deceased were excluded from thestudy or not. 7. Institutional Ethical Committee clearance not mentioned 8. Consent not mentioned 9. Methodology: Clarity required as to when were the samples collected?? Did they collect samples of these patients during July to December 2017 or later? If they have collected in July to December, why was it collected? Was it for study purpose or based onclinical condition? 10. Statistical methods used and p-values for significance were not mentioned 11. In discussion (paragraph 2), the word feminization is inappropriate - it could bereplaced with female preponderance 12. In Discussion (paragraph 3), it should be "similar results" instead of similar studies 13. Last line of the discussion segment: Reference for this statement? As treatmentprotocol is different, you should mention appropriate reference 14. Limitations: Can similar thing be considered for hepatitis C as this study has zerocoincidence with HCV 15. Page number not mentioned for reference number 3 in bibliography	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Suresh Kumar Panuganti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>