

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_89973
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Wound Healing Metabolites to Heal Cancer and unhealed wounds</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This review article analyzes the wound healing metabolites and wound healing process in cancer patients. The paper mainly focuses on methylation enzymes (MEs), cell differentiation agents (CDAs), and other metabolites. The manuscript contains eleven keywords, five tables (1, 2, 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3), three figures, and fifty-one references. Overall, this paper is messy, confusing, and difficult to understand. For example, tables show data from previous researches performed by the authors. Is it a review or research article? Please clarify it. This manuscript requires a comprehensive restructuring before being reconsidered for publication in the journal. Some remarks on different sections of the manuscript are exposed.</p> <p><b>Keywords</b> The manuscript presents eleven keywords. According to the journal's guidelines, about 4-8 keywords should be given. Please, try to adjust to this range. For keywords, where possible, please use Medical Subject Headings terms (MeSH Terms). Only "cachexia", "wound healing", "dementia", and "fibrosis" are MeSH terms. An alternative MeSH term proposed could be "cell differentiation" better than "cell differentiation agents". These suggestions about keywords are optional, not mandatory.</p> <p><b>General comments</b> The text and tables contain a lot of abbreviations. Abbreviations and acronyms should be explained the first time they are used. Please, consider adding a section with the list of abbreviations. Considering the author's instructions, in the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in square brackets, e.g. [1], not as superscripts, e.g. <sup>1</sup>. Reference number 37 does not appear cited in the text. Please, include it. Are figures 2 and 3 original? If not, please, cite the source. There are some grammatical errors. Page 10, say "... are listed in Table 2 and 3.", should say "... are listed in Tables 2 and 3". Page 12, say "Tale", should say "Table".</p> <p><b>References</b> Total number of manuscript references: 51. References should be checked carefully to transcribe them accurately. The reference format does not exactly match the journal's instructions about references. The references should follow the following style: <u>Articles in English</u> 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.  Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID).  <u>For Articles not in English</u> Forneau E, Bovet D. Recherches sur l'action sympatholytique d'un nouveau dérivé du dioxane. Arch Int Pharmacodyn. 1933;46:178-91. French.</p> <p><b>Tables</b> Total number of manuscript tables: 5. Indeed, the manuscript contains five tables, not three. Please consider re-numbering the tables. For example, the table 3-1 could be renumbered as table 3; table 3-2 as table 4; and table 3-3 as table 5. Please, in each table, include footers explaining the abbreviations.</p> <p><b>Figures</b> Total number of manuscript figures: 3. In figures 2 and 3 legends, consider adding an explanation of the abbreviations.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alberto Rodriguez-Archilla</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Granada, Spain</b>