

THE EFFICACY OF AN ORAL DIAZEPAM BASED PROTOCOL IN IMPROVING CARE AND REDUCING DURATION OF HOSPITALIZATION IN ALCOHOL WITHDRAWAL

BACKGROUND

Complications from alcohol abuse are the fourth leading preventable cause of death in the United States. Hospital length of stay (LOS) for patients experiencing alcohol withdrawal syndrome (AWS) has become of particular concern and effective treatment protocols are needed.

OBJECTIVE

At Danbury Hospital, a 371-bed community hospital in Danbury, Connecticut, the average LOS for AWS was historically nine days. We therefore designed a protocol for the treatment of AWS to provide effective treatment and thereby reduce LOS.

DESIGN

Our study was a single centre , retrospective observational study of patients who were admitted to Danbury Hospital with a diagnosis of AWS.

SETTING

Danbury Hospital.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

A total of 307 patients were included in this study. All patients 18 years and older admitted to Danbury Hospital between June 2015 and December 2016, with a primary diagnosis of AWS were included. A loading dose regimen was used whereby 20mg of oral Diazepam was given hourly for a total of eight doses within the first 24 hours until clinical improvement or mild sedation was achieved. The comparison group consisted of patients treated with a symptom-triggered regimen using Lorazepam.

We compared the primary outcome of LOS and secondary outcomes including need for transfer to a critical care unit, restraint use for aggressive behaviour related to withdrawal, the need for a safety companion, and the need for Psychiatry consultation between the two groups.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES

Hospital length of stay for patients experiencing alcohol withdrawal syndrome .

RESULTS

In the Diazepam group versus the comparison group, LOS was reduced to about four days, and fewer Psychiatry consultations were needed.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that a loading dose regimen of Diazepam may be used to safely reduce LOS in AWS patients.

LIMITATIONS

One of the limitations of our study was our small sample size.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

We have no financial or personal conflicts of interest

KEYWORDS: Alcohol Withdrawal Delirium, Diazepam, Lorazepam,
Length of Stay

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of alcohol abuse in the United States is alarmingly high at 18% and the total estimated economic burden is greater than 200 billion per year, 11% of which is attributed to health care costs.¹

According to the Global Burden of Disease study in 2016, alcohol use was the seventh leading risk factor globally for both deaths and disability adjusted life years.² Withdrawal symptoms for patients who regularly abuse alcohol, may lead to complications that lead to prolonged hospitalizations. Although many medications are used to treat patients with alcohol withdrawal, the cornerstone remains the use of benzodiazepines mainly due to their effect on GABA receptors in the limbic system and their cross tolerance with alcohol.³ Limited data exists on the superiority of using one benzodiazepine over the other, as well as optimal dosing strategies for these medications.³ Traditionally benzodiazepines are administered using loading dose or symptom-

triggered regimens for the treatment of AWS. With the loading dose technique, a long acting benzodiazepine is administered at specific intervals, until the patient shows clinical improvement and/or mild sedation. In the symptom-triggered regimen, a short acting benzodiazepine is given on an as needed basis, depending on the severity of symptoms. A standardised score such as the Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment for Alcohol (CIWA) or the Severity Assessment Scale (SAS) is used to assess the severity of withdrawal symptoms.^{3,4,5,6} The two most commonly used benzodiazepines in the treatment of AWS are Diazepam and Lorazepam. Diazepam binds to GABA receptors causing inhibition of the limbic system. It is absorbed rapidly and its active metabolite, desmethyldiazepam, has a half-life of approximately 48 hours. Lorazepam has similar effects but with a shorter half-life of approximately 12-18 hours. Diazepam has a higher affinity for the GABA receptor, a longer duration of action and is less likely to produce respiratory depression compared to Lorazepam. This is advantageous in the treatment of AWS as the active metabolites of Diazepam are still present in the body even at 72 hours, the peak time for alcohol withdrawal symptoms, and drug levels gradually decrease in a self-tapering manner.⁷ A loading dose regimen with Diazepam therefore allows a high level of metabolites, which have cross activity with alcohol receptors. Furthermore, Diazepam discourages the drug seeking behaviour seen in patients who are being given the drug on an as needed basis. The purpose of this study was to compare the

efficacy of a loading dose regimen using Diazepam with Lorazepam in the reduction of LOS for AWS hospitalizations.

METHODS

Background

Prior to June 2015, Danbury Hospital treated all patients experiencing AWS using a symptom-triggered regimen with Lorazepam and our LOS for AWS was historically nine days. In an effort to reduce this, an inpatient loading dose regimen protocol using Diazepam was developed and instituted in June 2015 for the management of AWS, based on the pharmacokinetics of Diazepam and Lorazepam. The revised protocol called for 20mg of oral Diazepam every hour for a total of eight doses within the first 24 hours, holding doses for sedation. Patients were selected to receive Diazepam versus Lorazepam based on their ability to tolerate oral intake and the presence of severe liver dysfunction. Those with liver dysfunction and inability to tolerate oral intake, for example due to nausea or vomiting were treated with Lorazepam. All other patients received oral Diazepam.

Study Design

This study was a single centre, retrospective observational study of patients who were admitted to Danbury Hospital with a diagnosis of AWS. Two groups of patients were compared; those treated with a

symptom-triggered regimen using Lorazepam versus those treated with loading dose regimens using Diazepam. We looked at the impact of these two treatment approaches on LOS, use of restraints due to agitation and/or aggressive behaviour, the need for a safety companion, transfers to a critical care unit and the need for Psychiatry consultation. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Biomedical Research Alliance of New York (BRANY IRB).

Patient Population

All patients 18 years and older admitted to Danbury Hospital between June 2015 and December 2016, with a primary diagnosis of AWS.

Data Collection

Medical charts were manually reviewed for all eligible patients. Relevant information was collected, including demographics, transfer to a critical care unit, restraint use for aggressive behaviour related to withdrawal, the need for a safety companion, Psychiatry consultation for management of alcohol withdrawal, as well as the presence of comorbidities including history of liver disease, congestive heart failure, alcohol withdrawal seizures and active substance abuse.

Statistical Analysis

Summary statistics were provided for demographic and clinical history variables used to compare the patients in the Lorazepam (symptom-

triggered regimen) group and the patients treated with Diazepam (loading dose regimen) before proceeding with further analysis. For age, Student's t-test was used to compare the means. For history of congestive heart failure, Fisher's exact test was used to compare the proportions. For all the other categorical variables, Pearson's chi-squared test was utilised to compare the proportions between the two groups. Similarly, we also compared the outcome variables between the Diazepam and Lorazepam groups. For LOS, we employed Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare the distributions between the two groups. For the variable transfer to a critical care unit, we considered no transfer, step down unit and intensive care unit as ordered levels of care, so that the contingency table between the variable "transfer to a critical care unit" and drug treatment was a singly ordered table. We transformed the ordered levels into numeric values, and used Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare the distributions of care between the treatment groups. For the other outcomes, the Pearson's chi-squared test was used. We noticed that the Lorazepam and Diazepam groups were unbalanced in terms of history of liver disease. This led us to check the associations between history of liver disease and each of the outcomes to see if adjustments were needed when comparing the outcomes between the treatment groups. The tests used were the same as described above. We log-transformed the LOS, and used ANOVA to test the difference of means between the two treatment groups while controlling for history of liver disease. The interaction effect

was tested. The significance level was set at .05 and all the original *P*-values were reported before adjusting for multiple hypotheses control. The statistical analyses were performed using R programming language.

RESULTS

A total of 307 patients were included in this study; 64% were treated with Lorazepam (symptom-triggered regimen) and the rest with Diazepam (loading dose regimen). With regard to baseline variables, there was a statistically significantly higher proportion of patients in the Lorazepam group with a history of liver disease than those in the Diazepam group ($P = .046$). For all other baseline characteristics, no significant differences in means or proportions were found between the two groups (Table 1).

For the comparisons of outcomes between the patients treated with Lorazepam and the patients treated with Diazepam, LOS for patients treated with Lorazepam was significantly longer than that for patients treated with Diazepam ($P < .001$). There was also a statistically significantly higher proportion of psychiatry consultations in the Lorazepam treatment group ($P < .001$). For all other outcome variables, no statistically significant differences in proportions or distributions were found (Table 2).

Given the observation that the two groups were unbalanced in terms of history of liver disease, the associations between history of liver disease and the outcomes were assessed to determine if it needed to be considered in multivariate analysis (Table 3).

The distribution of LOS was significantly longer in patients with liver disease history than those with no history of liver disease. Thus, multivariate analysis for LOS was performed considering both treatment type and history of liver disease using ANOVA. Log-transformation was done on LOS. The interaction effect was checked, but was not significant ($P = .579$), so it was disregarded in the ANOVA analysis.

There was still a significant difference in mean LOS between patients treated with Lorazepam and patients treated with Diazepam ($P < .001$), and history of liver disease became not significant given that treatment type was in the model. Thus, the conclusion would be the same as described above in the marginal association analysis shown in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study indicate that LOS was statistically significantly shorter and fewer Psychiatry consultations were needed in the patients treated with Diazepam compared with those treated with Lorazepam. The difference in LOS between our two groups suggests that treatment of AWS with the loading dose regimen may be more effective, and

may lead to a shorter duration of withdrawal symptoms and shorter LOS. Many studies have shown that Benzodiazepines are superior to other medications in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal. There is also data to support the use of loading dose regimens over symptom-triggered regimens, with the advantages of reducing the risk of complications of alcohol withdrawal including delirium tremens and seizures, reducing the total dose of Benzodiazepines needed and reducing the duration of symptoms.^{3,4,6,8,9,10}

There are several studies in the literature that support our results. In 2019, Jin A Lee et al. conducted a study to investigate the effect of focused treatment with Benzodiazepines on LOS in alcohol withdrawal. Focused treatment was defined as >50% of total diazepam usage within the first 24 hours. They found that early, focused treatment of severe AWS was associated with a decrease in ICU and hospital LOS.¹¹ In 2020, Frank X Scheuermeyer et al. compared lorazepam with diazepam for the management of alcohol withdrawal in the emergency department. 17.5% of the patients who received lorazepam were hospitalized compared to the 18.7% who received diazepam. Among patients discharged home, 1-week return visits occurred in 24.0% who received lorazepam and 23.2% who received diazepam. The admission rate was higher with diazepam use, although those treated with lorazepam were more likely to have a 1-week return visit.¹² In addition, Alexander R Levine et al. compared lorazepam to

diazepam for frontloading in severe AWS. The incidence of ICU delirium was 75% with lorazepam vs. 52.6% with diazepam. The results highlighted the increase in hospital length of stay in patients treated with lorazepam compared to diazepam.¹³ One of the limitations of our study was our small sample size. This may have limited power to show statistical significance in some of our outcome variables such as the need for restraints, need for a safety companion and transfers to critical care units. Our study showed a reduction in numbers that did not reach statistical significance for these variables. Further, as a retrospective study, our design is subject to confounding factors. Another limitation is in the lack of established cut off values for liver function tests to preclude the use of Diazepam. This may have led to patients with mild abnormalities in liver function being put on the Lorazepam symptom-triggered regimen depending on the admitting physician's comfort level. Further investigation with a prospective cohort or randomized control trial will be needed to assess the effect of a loading dose protocol on these outcome variables.

CONCLUSION

Alcohol withdrawal syndrome is a common encounter in hospitals and LOS for patients experiencing AWS has become of particular concern. Therefore, effective treatment protocols for AWS are needed to decrease global health burdens. Our study designed a protocol for the treatment of AWS to provide effective treatment for AWS patients at

Danbury Hospital and thereby reduce their LOS. We conclude that a loading dose regimen of Diazepam may be used to safely reduce LOS in AWS patients to about four days with fewer psychiatric consultations during the hospitalization period. Further investigation with a prospective cohort or randomized control trial will be needed to assess the effect of a loading dose protocol on those outcome variables.

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS

- Hospital length of stay (LOS) for patients experiencing alcohol withdrawal syndrome (AWS) has become of particular concern and effective treatment protocols are needed.
- At Danbury Hospital, a community-based academic centre in Connecticut, we designed a protocol for the treatment of AWS to provide effective treatment and thereby reduce our hospital length of stay which was historically nine days.
- A loading dose regimen of Diazepam was used until clinical improvement or mild sedation was achieved, compared with patients treated with a symptom-triggered regimen using Lorazepam.
- We found that in the Diazepam group versus the comparison group, LOS was reduced to about four days, and fewer Psychiatry consultations were needed.
- We conclude that a loading dose regimen of Diazepam may be used to safely reduce LOS in AWS patients.

Consent

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

REFERENCES

Mehta AJ. Alcoholism and critical illness: A review. *World J Crit Care Med.* 2016 Feb 4;5(1):27-35. doi: 10.5492/wjccm.v5.i1.27. PMID: 26855891; PMCID: PMC4733453.

Alcohol Use and Global Disease Burden. MDedge Psychiatry. <https://www.mdedge.com/ccjm/clinical-edge/summary/addiction-medicine/alcohol-use-and-global-disease-burden>. Published January 18, 2019. Accessed February 13, 2019.

Maldonado JR, Nguyen LH, Schader EM, Brooks JO. Benzodiazepine loading versus symptom-triggered treatment of alcohol withdrawal: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *General*

Hospital Psychiatry. 2012;34(6):611-617.

doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2012.06.016.

Heinala P, Piepponen T, Heikkinen H. Diazepam loading in alcohol withdrawal: Clinical pharmacokinetics. *International journal of clinical pharmacology, therapy, and toxicology*. 1990;28(5):211-217.

Muzyk AJ, Leung JG, Nelson S, Embury ER, Jones SR. The Role of Diazepam Loading for the Treatment of Alcohol Withdrawal Syndrome in Hospitalized Patients. *The American Journal on Addictions*. 2013;22(2):113-118. doi:10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00307.x.4.

Devenyi P, Harrison M. Prevention of Alcohol Withdrawal Seizures With Oral Diazepam Loading. *Journal of Urology*. 1985;132(7):798-800. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)47360-5.

Schuckit MA. Recognition and Management of Withdrawal Delirium (Delirium Tremens). *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2014;371(22):2109-2113. doi:10.1056/nejmra1407298.

Sellers EM, Naranjo CA, Harrison M, Devenyi P, Roach C, Sykora K. Diazepam loading: Simplified treatment of alcohol withdrawal. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. 1983;34(6):822-826. doi:10.1038/clpt.1983.256.

Schaffer A, Naranjo CA. Recommended Drug Treatment Strategies for the Alcoholic Patient. *Drugs*. 1998;56(4):571-585. doi:10.2165/00003495-199856040-00005.

Bharadwaj B, Kattimani S. Clinical management of alcohol withdrawal:
A systematic review. *Industrial Psychiatry Journal*. 2013;22(2):100-
108. doi:10.4103/0972-6748.132914.

Lee JA, Duby JJ, Cocanour CS. Effect of early and focused
benzodiazepine therapy on length of stay in severe alcohol
withdrawal syndrome. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)*. 2019 Jul;57(7):624-627.
doi: 10.1080/15563650.2018.1542701. Epub 2019 Feb 7. PMID:
30729859.

Scheuermeyer FX, Miles I, Lane DJ, Grunau B, Grafstein E, Sljivic I, Duley
S, Yan A, Chiu I, Kestler A, Barbic D, Moe J, Slaunwhite A, Nolan S,
Ti L, Innes G. Lorazepam Versus Diazepam in the Management of
Emergency Department Patients With Alcohol Withdrawal. *Ann
Emerg Med*. 2020 Dec;76(6):774-781. doi:
10.1016/j.annemergmed.2020.05.029. Epub 2020 Jul 28. PMID:
32736932.

Levine AR, Thanikonda V, Mueller J, Naut ER. Front-loaded diazepam
versus lorazepam for treatment of alcohol withdrawal agitated
delirium. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2021 Jun;44:415-418. doi:
10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.095. Epub 2020 May 4. PMID: 32402500.