

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_89154
Title of the Manuscript:	Early Detection Of White Matter Changes With Cognitive Decline In Parkinson's Patients
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In General: it's a good paper and the subject of the manuscript is applicable and useful.</p> <p>Title: the title properly explain the purpose and objective of the article</p> <p>Abstract: abstract contains an appropriate summary for the article, language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand, there are no suggestions for improvement.</p> <p>Introduction: authors do provide adequate background on the topic and reason for this article and describe what the authors hoped to achieve.</p> <p>Results: the results are presented clearly, the authors provide accurate research results, there is sufficient evidence for each result.</p> <p>Conclusion: in general: Good and the research provides sample data for the authors to make their conclusion.</p> <p>Grammar: Need Some revision. (Check The Paper Comments).</p> <p>Finally, this was an appealing article, in its current state it adds much new insightful information to the field. Therefore, I accept that paper to be published in your journal</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Nader Shalaby
Department, University & Country	Suez Canal University, Egypt