

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_82341
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Environmental Factors as Determinants of Social Well-Being of Adolescents in Foster Homes in Southwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I am very glade to give me the chance to review this valuable research. You have a lot of great information and seem to cover all basic information surrounding the research idea, but there are few suggestions if you would like to take into considerations;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The research questions or hypotheses are hard to find and it aren't clearly stated. 2- I think line 5 in abstract not clearly stated, it can be" Study participants were selected among adolescents in foster homes using stratified,...". 3- Questionnaires titles can be addressed in abstract. 4- Recommendations can be added to abstract. 5- Using the same word in the article; places (abstract)or study area(methodology) 6- What is the reference of the numbers of population of southwestern Nigeria? Is there newest number because (2012) is relatively old? 7- Using the same word for study population (in inclusion criteria) (adolescents/ persons) along the articles. 8- I think exclusion criteria not necessary here, because it is reputation of inclusion criteria in another words. 9- Sampling technique to select 27 foster home can be clarified. 10- Private foster homes was mentioned in line 4 (focus group discussion) not mentioned in study area. It may be added if it were selected. 11- Explanation for each instrument can be added (numbers of questions, examples, scoring system ...). 12- Can we use participated in instead of used for in relation to foster adolescents, line 6 in procedure. 13- In line 5 in procedure, use the same word researcher/investigator (all over the article) 14- In line 8 & 11 in procedure, may use fill in instead of fill. 15- In line 14 in result No. of table is inconsistent of the table No. 16- Discussion and conclusions is based on actual facts and figures. 17- There are two references not present in the list of references (Cozby, Klee and Worden (2001) & Levin (2003)) and there additional one in the list of references, can be omitted (Levin.I. 1993). All the references cited relevant and adequate. 	
Optional/General comments	I like the writing style and I think the article is relatively easy to follow and the paragraphs are well linked.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nareman Aly Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt