

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90809
Title of the Manuscript:	Coat Protein characterization of Mungbean yellow mosaic virus infecting blackgram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L. Hepper) in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript presents an interesting topic related to the phylogenetic characterization of the blackgram bean yellow mosaic virus, which is a real threat to crops in certain regions of India. The manuscript is fluent, respecting the content criteria of the sections. The abstract is concise, sufficient and reflects the content of the manuscript. The Introduction section is well done and presents recent data from other reports along with the virus description.</p> <p>In the material and method section, the authors presented in detail all the working stages. The results are interesting and relevant, being well presented in the text and in the tables. The major correction that this manuscript requires is related to the way of editing. In addition to grammatical corrections, it is also necessary to edit the text according to academic rules. The whole manuscript is edited in capital letters which makes it almost impossible to read. In order to review it, it was necessary to change the paragraphs.</p> <p>In the way it is currently edited, the manuscript cannot be published. Only after correcting the editing of the text, the manuscript fully meets the criteria for publication</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stan Florin Gheorghe
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania