

Original Research Article

Evaluation and selection of elite cassava varieties for storage root yield in the transition and Guinea savannah ecologies of Ghana

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to identify and select local and improved cassava varieties suitable for cultivation in the transition and Guinea savannah agroecology of Ghana against climate change. Two agroecologies; transition and Guinea savannah ecologies were considered for the study. Four cassava growing communities were chosen in the transition zone whilst three cassava growing communities were chosen for the Guinea savannah ecology. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications at each location. A total of 13 cassava varieties were used for the study. Cassava cuttings measuring 20-25 cm were planted using a spacing of 1m x 1m. Harvesting was done at 12 months after planting to assess storage root yield of the cassava varieties at the different locations. Analysis of variance indicated significant ($P \leq 0.05$) varietal differences among the local varieties for root yield (11.25 - 29.27 t/ha), harvest index (0.46 - 0.75), storage root number per plant (2 - 8 roots/plant) and mean storage root weight (307.0 - 972.4 g) in Transition zone. However, there were no significant varietal differences between the improved varieties for root yield. The best performing improved varieties in the transition zone were Bankyehemaa (28.06 t/ha), Eskamaye (27.76 t/ha) and Nkabom (26.99 t/ha) whilst Filindiakong (22.65 t/ha) and Nyerikobga (31.17 t/ha) were identified to be suitable for the Guinea savannah ecology. Therefore, these cassava varieties can be cultivated to mitigate the effects of climate change on cassava and ensure food security.

Keywords: [cassava varieties, climate change, storage root yield, agroecologies]

1. INTRODUCTION

The increased global population and pressure on arable land have resulted in the extension of farming to marginal and areas with prolonged dry seasons which were not originally used for crop production (Baulcombe *et al.* 2009; Challinor *et al.* 2007). It has therefore become necessary to develop improved technologies to sustain crop production and productivity under such moisture limited environments (Bergantin *et al.* 2004). Adaptation which refers to adjustment in natural and human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli is one of the policy options for reducing the negative impact of climate change (IPCC 2001; Adger *et al.* 2003). Common adaptation methods in agriculture include use of new crop varieties and livestock species that are better suited to drier conditions and changing planting dates (Nhemachera and Hassan 2007; Bradshaw *et al.* 2004). One of the major crops used for combating the effects of climate change in marginal ecologies is cassava (Ifeanyi-Obi and Issa 2013).

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) the second most important food staple (after rice) in terms of calories per capita in Africa with more than 800 million people depend on it for their calorie needs (Burns *et al.* 2010). It is mostly preferred by resource poor farmers due to its low input requirements. Cassava has been adjudged in Africa, as a food security crop mainly because of its ability and capacity to yield well in drought-prone, marginal wastelands even under poor management where other crops would fail (Akinwale *et al.* 2011). The crop

possesses certain adaptive physiological and morphological features that make it resilient in such stress ecologies (Adjebeng-Danquah *et al.* 2016; Adjebeng-Danquah *et al.* 2020). Besides, providing more returns per unit input than other root and tuber crops, cassava has better adaptability to diverse and poor soil conditions and wide flexibility in planting and harvesting times (Enesiet *al.* 2022; Fregene *et al.* 2000).

However, productivity of cassava like most crops is constrained by many factors including drought stress in susceptible cultivars with yield losses of close to 80% being recorded (Aina *et al.* 2007a). As a result of climate change, moisture will likely become increasingly scarce for rain-fed agriculture and consequently, drought stress will become a major environmental factor affecting cassava production (Chemura *et al.* 2020; Raza *et al.* 2019). The performance of cassava like most crops is susceptible to variations in environmental conditions leading to differential response of the crop to different environments (Mkumbira *et al.*, 2003). Dixon and Nukene (2000) defined this crossover performance as genotype x environmental (GxE) interaction. Otoo *et al.* (2006) and Ntawuruhunga and Dixon (2010) further indicated that GxE affects the selection efficiency in plant breeding thereby resulting in less genetic gain. For this reason, plant breeders often test crops in several environments to eliminate the negative effect of genotype x environment interaction and identify stable and adaptable genotypes (Haldavankar *et al.* 2009; Ssemakula and Dixon, 2007).

Several studies have reported that moisture stress during the establishment stages of cassava can have severe effect on the production of the crop (Bergantin *et al.* 2004; Aina *et al.* 2007a; Bakayoko *et al.* 2009; Perez *et al.* 2011). Therefore there is the need to identify high yielding cassava genotypes that are adapted to moisture stress ecologies. El-Sharkawy (2007) and Okogbenin *et al.* (2003) reported that genotypic variability exists among cassava genotypes in response to water stress, with some varieties having high levels of drought tolerance whilst others are susceptible. Aina *et al.* (2009) also indicated that local germplasm of cassava possess rich source of genetic variability which can be exploited for the improvement for drought tolerance. This genetic potential can be exploited to identify and develop cassava varieties that can tolerate limited rainfall conditions. The main objective of this study was to identify and select high yielding adapted local and improved drought-tolerant cassava varieties adaptation to some agro-ecologies of Ghana.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS / EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS / METHODOLOGY

2.1. Study sites

The trials were conducted in the transition ecology; Techiman North (four communities) in the BrongAhafo region and Guinea savannah ecology; Damongo (three communities) in the Savannah region of Ghana during the 2014 and 2015 growing seasons. The two ecologies were specifically chosen for their differences in weather conditions and vegetation. The Techiman north district falls within the transition ecology is characterized by a bi-modal rainfall regime with an average annual rainfall of about 1,250 mm. With a total potential evapotranspiration of about 1,430 mm, there is 12.6 % annual water deficit and an average annual aridity index of 0.87. Average annual temperature and relative humidity are estimated to be around 26°C and 75 % respectively. The West Gonja district (Damongo) on the other hand falls within the Guinea savannah agroecology which is characterized by a uni-modal rainfall regime with an average of 1033mm which lasts 5-6 months and followed by long dry season often lasting 6-7 months in the year. Average annual temperature and relative humidity are 28.1 and 61% respectively. Potential evapotranspiration amounts of 1720 mm per annum results in an excess of about 66.4 % evapotranspiration over rainfall (Agyemang and Abdul-Korah 2014; EPA, 2003).

2.2 Cassava varieties used

A total of 13 cassava varieties made up of six improved and seven local farmer-preferred varieties which are grown in the different ecologies. The improved varieties included, Bankyehemaa, IFAD, Essambankye, Nkabom (Transition ecology), Filindiakong, Nyerikobga and Eskamaye (Guinea savannah ecology). **The different cassava varieties were selected for the different communities and ecologies because they were broadly developed for those specific ecologies but had not been tested in the communities. On the other hand, the selection of local varieties was based on the most popular variety preferred and grown by farmers in the specific communities to serve as check varieties.**

2.3 Land preparation and planting

Appropriate land preparation method was adopted for the different ecologies. In the case of the transition ecology, the land was first slashed and the debris collected and burnt after which planting was done. However, in the Guinea savannah ecology, the land was ploughed and harrowed as is mostly done before cultivation. The field was then divided into plots measuring 10m x 40m for each variety. Ridges were manually raised one metre apart for planting. Planting was done on top of the ridges using a spacing of one metre between plants. This gave a spacing of 1m x 1m and eventually a plant population density of 10,000 plants per hectare. Mature cassava cuttings measuring 20-25 cm were planted on ridges. Weeds were controlled when necessary to minimize competition.

2.4 Data collection

2.4.1 Fresh root yield (t/ha) and yield components:

Three subplots measuring 4mx4m were sampled for yield data assessment. Data collected at harvesting (12 months after planting) included storage root yield (t/ha), number of roots per plant. Harvest index was estimated as the ratio of root yield to total biomass. Mean root weight (g) was also estimated as the total root weight divided by the number of roots per plot.

2.5 Data analysis

General analyses of variance were performed for all traits using the GenStat statistical package (Payne *et al.* 2009). **Combined factorial analysis was carried out on the improved varieties considering location and variety as different factors.** Significant differences between varieties were **tested** using the least significant difference test ($P \leq 0.05$). Two sample t-test was performed to compare the mean performance of all improved varieties versus mean of all local varieties using the GenStat version 12.1 (Payne *et al.* 2009).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Performance of cassava varieties in the Transition ecology

Significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) were observed among the improved varieties for all the traits measured except storage root yield (Table 1a). Location effect was also significant for all traits. Variety x location effect was also significant for all traits except harvest index. Variety effect was also significant ($P \leq 0.05$) for all traits in the case of the local varieties (Table 1b). Analysis of the average performance of the varieties (Table 1c) identified Bankyehemaa as the highest yielding variety (28.06 t/ha) with Nkabom producing the lowest root yield (26.99 t/ha) among the improved varieties. Essambankye had the highest harvest index (0.66) with Bankyehemaa having the least (0.57). Mean root weight (g) ranged between 310.5 g and 548.5 g for Nkabom and Bankyehemaa respectively. Whiles Bankyehemaa had the highest number of storage roots per plant with Essambankye having the least number of roots per plant. Among the local varieties (Table 1c), Nkomte recorded the highest root yield (29.27 t/ha) whilst AlataRed had the least (11.25 t/ha). Harvest index ranged between 0.46 (Dakware) and 0.75 (AlataRed). However, the minimum and the maximum mean root weight were 307.0 g and 972.4g for Dakware and AlataRed respectively. AlataRed again had the lowest number of roots per plant (2.03) with Dakware producing the highest number of roots per plant (8.36). The improved varieties gave higher average root yield (t/ha), harvest index, MRW and root no/plant respectively than the mean of all the local varieties (Table 1c).

Table 1a Analysis of variance for root yield and yield components of improved varieties in Techiman North

Source	df	Root yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	MRW	Root no/ plant
Variety (V)	2	3.68ns	0.008*	164897.0**	26.95**
Location (L)	3	668.43**	0.050**	112068.0**	21.15**
V x L	6	85.06**	0.001ns	35295.0**	1.08*
Residual	22	15.22	0.002	2643	0.32
Total	35	81.72	0.006	27065	3.76

ns = Not significant, * = Significant at 5%, ** = Significant at 1%, MRW = Mean root yield

Table 1b Analysis of variance for root yield and yield components of local varieties in Techiman North

Source	df	Root yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	MRW	Root no/ plant
Replications	2	121.15	0.002	3132.00	2.43
Variety	5	107.20*	0.035***	171966.00*	16.36***
Residual	13	38.32	0.002	49264.00	0.38
Total	20	63.82	0.011	75327.00	4.58

ns = Not significant, * = Significant at 5%, ** = Significant at 1%, MRW = Mean root yield

Table 1c Mean performance of improved varieties in Techiman North

Variety	Root yield	HI	MRW	Root no./plant
Improved				
Bankyehemaa	28.06	0.57	310.5	8.97
Essambankye	27.76	0.66	471.9	6.40
Nkabom	26.99	0.60	548.5	7.05
Mean	27.60	0.617	443.6	7.47
LSD (5%)	3.303	0.083	87.05	0.974
CV	14.13	7.18	10.65	10.09
Local				
AlataRed	11.25	0.75	972.4	2.03
AlataWhite	18.84	0.74	715.9	3.08
Bensere	15.89	0.64	357.7	5.66
Dakware	23.27	0.46	307.0	8.36
Memmawo	18.03	0.57	579.9	4.51
Nkomte	29.27	0.64	465.3	6.53
Mean	18.92	0.64	536.5	5.12
LSD (5%)	9.89	0.09	389.4	1.15
CV	27.47	7.86	38.65	12.55

MRW = Mean root yield

3.2 Performance of cassava varieties in the Damongo district (Savannah ecology)

Analysis of variance indicated significant main effects of variety, location for all traits measured (Table 2a). Apart from root yield, variety x environment interaction (GxE) effect was not significant ($P > .05$) for the other traits. Nyerikobga was observed to have both highest root yield (t/ha) and highest harvest index whilst (Table 2b). Biabasse had the lowest root yield (19.05 t/ha) and lowest harvest index (0.54). In terms of mean root weight, Biabasse had the highest with Bankyehemaa having the lowest mean root weight. The highest average root number per plant was observed for Bankyehemaa (10.97), whilst Filindiakong produced the lowest average number of roots per plant (7.32).

Table 2a Analysis of variance for root yield and yield components of four cassava varieties from three communities in Damongo district

Source	df	Root yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	MRW (g)	Root no/ plant
Variety (V)	3	73.95*	0.039**	41617.00**	8.14*
Location (L)	2	258.92**	0.004*	12147.00*	28.99**
V x L	6	87.02*	0.001 ^{ns}	4963.00 ^{ns}	0.47 ^{ns}
Residual	22	16.77	0.007	2320.00	1.87
Total	35				

ns = Not significant, * = Significant at 5%, ** = Significant at 1%

Table 2b Mean performance of four cassava varieties from three communities in Damongo district.

Variety	Root yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	MRW (g)	Root no/ plant
Biabasse**	19.09	0.54	429.42	8.91
Bankyehemaa	19.71	0.58	189.4	10.97
Filindiakong	22.65	0.65	385.8	7.32
Nyerikobga	31.17	0.74	384.6	8.37
Mean	23.16	0.63	347.31	8.89
LSD (5%)	7.286	0.05	85.70	2.43
CV	17.70	3.97	15.27	15.78

** = local variety, MRW = Mean root yield

3.3 Comparison of performance improved varieties and local varieties

The improved varieties generally gave higher root yield (t/ha) in all locations than the local varieties across the different ecologies (Table 3). The average storage root yield for the improved varieties at Techiman North in the transition zone (27.60 t/ha) was higher than the average root yield obtained at Damongo in the Guinea savannah ecology (24.51 t/ha). Compared to the respective average root yield of the local varieties, the margins of differences were 22.11% and 26.7% for the Guinea savannah ecology and transition zone respectively.

Table 3 Comparison of mean root yield of all improved varieties with average root yield of local varieties in the different ecologies (T-Test).

Variety	Transition	Savannah
	Techiman north	West Gonja (Damongo)
Improved (I)	27.60	24.51
Local (L)	20.24	19.09
Yield difference	7.36	5.42
% diff (I/L) [#]	26.70	22.11
SED	2.381	1.231
P<0.05	0.003	0.021

% diff (I/L)[#] = Percentage yield difference

3.4 Selection of high yielding improved and local varieties for different ecologies

The improved and local varieties also exhibited different adaptations to the different agro-ecologies (Tables 4 and 5). Three varieties Bankyehemaa, Essambankye and Nkabom were also selected for the Techiman North district in the forest savannah transition zone whilst Bankyehemaa, Nyerikobga and Filindiakong were selected as the suitable improved varieties in the Guinea savannah ecology.

Table 4 Root yield (t/ha) for best improved varieties for the different ecologies

Variety	Transition	Guinea savannah
	Techiman	Damongo
Bankyehemaa	28.06	19.71
Filindiakong		22.65
Nyerikobga		31.17
Eskamaye		

Essambankye	27.76	
Nkabom	26.99	
Mean	27.60	24.51

Table 5 Root yield (t/ha) for best local varieties for the different ecologies

Variety	Transition	Guinea savannah
	Techiman	Damongo
Dakware	23.27	
Nkomte	25.33	
Biabasse		19.05
Mean	24.30	19.05

4. DISCUSSION

Genotypic variability among cassava varieties for tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses is a very important opportunity for the improvement of the crop (Aina *et al.* 2007b; Okogbenin *et al.* 2003; El-Sharkawy 2007). The results from the study confirmed earlier reported findings about the resilient nature of different cassava varieties. The improved crop varieties generally gave better performance than the local farmer preferred varieties which had low yielding potential and susceptible to common pests and diseases of cassava. However, there were exceptions as some local varieties outperformed some of the improved varieties. This could be due to the fact that these local varieties were mostly adapted to their respective environments and are much better suited than newly introduced varieties. This is because landraces or local varieties serve as rich genetic resources of useful traits of agronomic importance (Aina *et al.* 2009). The extent of genetic gain or progress made in genetic improvement depends on how wide the genetic diversity among landraces is (Govindaraj *et al.* 2014; Hausmann *et al.* 2004). This suggests that the local cassava varieties contain ample genetic variation that can be utilized for further improvement.

Harvest index which represents the proportion of dry matter that is partitioned into the economic parts (Alves 2002) and for that matter, the efficiency of the different varieties, was also highly significant and varied among the different varieties. In most cases the improved varieties had better partitioning of dry matter into the roots compared with the local varieties. Most local farmer-preferred varieties are tall and non-branching due to several years of careful selection of intercropping-compatible cassava varieties (Nweke *et al.* 2002; Njukwe *et al.* 2013; Fajinmi and Fajinmi 2010; Njoku *et al.* 2010). The improved varieties used were mostly early branching and as such had the tendency to convert most of their assimilates into storage root bulking earlier in the growing season thereby resulting in much higher root yield. Earlier studies have suggested that cassava varieties differ in the partitioning of dry matter into the various plant organs with some preferring stems to roots at a much earlier stage than others (El-Sharkawy 2004; Alves 2002; Okogbenin and Fregene 2002). It has been suggested that cassava varieties that begin partitioning of dry matter into storage roots at an earlier growth stage (high initial harvest index) eventually give better yield than varieties that channel dry matter into storage roots later (Adjebeng-Danquah *et al.* 2016; Okogbenin *et al.* 2008). This has implications on the selection of cassava varieties for climate change mitigation since such varieties are expected to be highly efficient in the utilization of moisture for transpiration and conversion into dry matter (Okogbenin *et al.* 2011). Average harvest index was also lower in the transition ecology compared to the Guinea savannah due to the ability of varieties to develop more above ground biomass in relatively more humid forest and transition ecologies compared to savannah ecologies (Baafi and Safo-Kantanka 2008).

Varieties also differed in number of storage roots with Bankyehemaa consistently producing high number of storage roots compared with the local varieties and even some of the other improved varieties. This could be due to the high yield potential of Bankyehemaa. Studies have indicated that one of the attributes of high yielding cassava varieties is the ability to produce high number of storage roots (El-Sharkawy 2004; Alves 2002). However having more number of roots implies a larger sink load which will result in several sinks competing for the same amount of assimilates. Therefore varieties that initiate more storage roots than necessary tend to have smaller storage roots after harvest (Alves 2002). This was evident in Bankyehemaa which had the highest number of storage roots per plant but lower mean root weight compared to the other varieties. In considering varieties, efforts should be made to select varieties that combine high number of storage roots with good partitioning efficiency to ensure that big storage roots are obtained after harvest.

Generally the improved varieties performed better in all traits than the local varieties across all locations though some of the local varieties gave comparable yields. The margins of differences were 22.11% in the Guinea savannah ecology and 26.7% in Techiman North district the transition ecology. This implies that the transition ecology was relatively more conducive for the expression of the full potential of the varieties (Baafi and Safo-Kantanka 2008). In stress environment, genetic variation for drought tolerance become more pronounced resulting in the wider variation between improved varieties and local varieties in the Guinea savannah ecology than the transition ecology. The yield variations among the improved varieties did not differ much compared to the variations among the local varieties. Cavatassi *et al.* (2006) suggested that more diverse crop germplasm (as kept on farmers' fields) guards against total crop failure and provides insurance for food security. Aina *et al.* (2009) also indicated that landraces provide rich source of germplasm for crop improvement. The results from this study provide opportunity for selection from the pool of local varieties for further improvement to identify drought tolerant varieties to boost cassava production. The study also identified certain cassava varieties as suitable for the transition and Guinea savannah ecologies due to their high yielding potential in the districts in the various ecologies. Agro-ecology specificity of cassava varieties as a result of their adaptability have long been reported by several studies (Aina *et al.* 2009; Dixon and Nukene 2000; Ntawuruhunga and Dixon 2010) and this need to be considered critically when recommending cassava varieties for farmers. Three varieties were also identified for the Guinea savanna ecology (Nyerikobga, Filindiakong and Bankyehemaa).

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

The study identified three improved varieties (Bankyehemaa (28.06 t/ha), Eskamaye (27.76 t/ha) and Nkabom (26.99 t/ha) as suitable for the transition ecology and two improved varieties (Filindiakong and Nyerikobga) as suitable for the Guinea savannah ecology. One local variety (Nkomte) gave comparatively high yield in the transition ecology which can be selected for further improvement.

REFERENCES

- Adger WN, Huq S, Brown K, Conway D. and Hulme M. 2003. Adaptation to Climate Change in the Developing World. Progress in Development Studies No. 3.
- Adjebeng-Danquah J, Gracen VE, Offei SK, Asante IK, Asantel K. and Manu-Aduening J. 2016. Genetic variability in storage root bulking of cassava genotypes under irrigation and no irrigation. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 5(9):1-12
- Adjebeng-Danquah J, Manu-Aduening J, Gracen V, Offei SK. and Asante IK. 2016). Genotypic variation in abscisic acid content, carbon isotope ratio and their

- relationship with cassava growth and yield under moisture stress and irrigation. *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology*, 19(4): 263-273
- Adjebeng-Danquah J, Asante IK, Manu-Aduening J, Agyare RY, Gracen V. and Offei SK. 2020. Genotypic variability in some morpho-physiological traits in different environments and their relationship with cassava (*Manihotesculenta* Crantz) root yield. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2020: 1-19.
- Agyemang I. and Abdul-Korah R. 2014. Strategies to combat desertification in Northern Region of Ghana: The role of Environmental Protection Agency. *Physical Sciences Research International*, 2(2): 35-43**
- Aina OO, Dixon AGO, Illona P. and Akinrinde EA. 2009. GxE interaction effects on yield and yield components of cassava (landraces and improved) genotypes in the savanna regions of Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8(19):4933-4945.
- Aina OO, Dixon AGO. and Akinrinde EA. 2007a. Effect of soil moisture stress on growth and yield of cassava in Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*, 10(18): 3085-3090.
- Aina OO, Dixon AGO. and Akinrinde EA. 2007b. Genetic variability in cassava as it influences storage root yield in Nigeria. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 7:765-770.
- Akinwale MG, Akinyele BO, Odiyil AC. and Dixon AGO. 2011. Genotype X Environment Interaction and yield performance of 43 improved cassava (*Manihotesculenta* Crantz) genotypes at three agro-climatic zones in Nigeria. *British Biotechnology Journal*, 1(3): 68-84.
- Alves AAC. 2002 Cassava Botany and Physiology. In: Hillcocks RJ, Thresh JM. and Bellotti A. (Eds.). Cassava: Biology, Production and Utilization. pp.67–90.**
- Baafi E. and Safo-Kantanka O. 2008. Agronomy and Processing Attributes of Some Cassava (*Manihotesculenta* Crantz) Genotypes as Affected by Location and Age at harvest in Ghana. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 3(3):211-218
- Bakayoko S, Tschannen A, Nindjin C, Dao D, Girardin O. and Assa A. 2009. Impact of water stress on fresh tuber yield and dry matter content of cassava (*Manihotesculenta* Crantz) in Côte d'Ivoire. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 4 (1):021-027
- Baulcombe D, Crute I, Davies B, Dunwell J, Gale M, Jones J, Pretty J, Sutherland W, Toulmin C. and Green N. 2009. Reaping the Benefits: Science and the Sustainable Intensification of Global Agriculture; The Royal Society: London, UK.
- Bergantin VR, Yamauchi A, Pardales JR Jr. and Bolatete DM Jr. 2004. Screening cassava genotypes for resistance to water deficit during crop establishment. *Philippian Journal of Crop Science*, 29(1): 29-39
- Bradshaw B, Dolan H. and Smith B. 2004. Farm-level adaptation to Climatic Variability and Change: Crop Diversification in the Canadian Prairies. *Climatic Change* Vol. 67.
- Burns A, Gleadow R, Cliff J, Zacarias A. and Cavagnaro T. 2010. Cassava: the drought, war and famine crop in a changing world. *Sustainability*, 2(11): 3572-3607.
- Cavatassi R, Leslie Lipper, L. and Hopkins J. 2006. The Role of Crop Genetic Diversity in Coping with Agricultural Production Shocks: Insights from Eastern Ethiopia. ESA Working Paper No. 06-17. 20pp <http://www.fao.org/3/a-ah805e.pdf>
- Challinor A, Wheeler T, Garforth C, Craufurd P and Kassam A. 2007. Assessing the vulnerability of food crop systems in Africa to climate change. *Climatic Change*, 83:831-399.
- Chemura A, Schaubberger B. and Gornott C. 2020. Impacts of climate change on agro-climatic suitability of major food crops in Ghana. *PLoS One*, 15(6):1-21.**
- Dixon AGO. and Nukenine EN. 2000. Genotype x environment interaction and optimum resource allocation for yield and yield components of cassava. *African Crop Science Journal*, 8:1-10.
- El-Sharkawy MA. 2004. Cassava biology and physiology. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 56:481–501.

- El-Sharkawy MA. 2007. Physiological characteristics of cassava tolerance to prolonged drought in the tropics: Implications for breeding cultivars adapted to seasonally dry and semiarid environments. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 19(4):257-286.
- Enesi R, Hauser S, Pypers P, Kreye C, Tariku M. and Six J. 2022. Understanding changes in cassava root dry matter yield by different planting dates, crop ages at harvest, fertilizer application and varieties. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 133, 126448.
- Fajinmi AA. and Fijinmi OB. 2010. Evaluation of pepper intercropped with tall-companion crop in the management of pepper vein mottle poty virus Disease and its vector on cultivated pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) in Nigeria. *Agricultural Journal*, 5:205-210.
- Fregene M, Bernal A, Duque M, Dixon AGO. and Tohme J. 2000. AFLP analysis of African cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) germplasm resistant to the cassava mosaic disease (CMD). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 100:678-685.
- Govindaraj M, Vetriventhan M. and Srinivasan M. 2014. Importance of genetic diversity assessment in crop plants and its recent advances: an overview of its analytical Perspectives. *Genetics Research International*, 2015, 1-14
- Haldavankar PC, Joshi GD, Bhavé SG, Klandekar RG. and Sawant SS. 2009. Stability of yield and yield attributing phenotypic characters in sweet potato, *Journal of Root Crops*, 35(1):28-35.
- Hausmann BIG, Parzies HK, Presterl T, Susic Z. and Miedane T. 2004. Plant genetic resources in crop improvement. *Plant Genetic Resources* 2(1):3-21
- Ifeanyi-Obi CC. and Issa F O. 2013. Barriers Faced By Cassava Farmers in Adapting To Climate Change in Oron Agricultural Zone of Akwa Ibom State. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*, 4(6):19-26
- IPCC. 2001. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Impacts, Vulnerability and Adaptation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Third Assessment Report on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press
- Mkumbira J, Mahungu NM. and Gullberg U. 2003. Grouping locations for efficient cassava evaluation in Malawi. *Experimental Agriculture*, 39:167-179.
- Nhemachena C. and Hassan R. 2007. Micro-Level Analysis of Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change in Southern Africa. IFPRI Discussion Paper No. 00714. International Food Policy Research Institute. Washington DC.
- Njoku DN, Afuape SO. and Ebeniro CN. 2010. Growth and yield of cassava as influenced by grain cowpea population density in Southeastern Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 5(20):2778-2781
- Njukwe E, Hanna R, Kirscht H and Araki S. 2013. Farmers Perception and Criteria for Cassava Variety Preference in Cameroon. *African Study Monographs*, 34 (4): 221-234
- Ntawuruhunga P. and Dixon AGO. 2010. Quantitative variation and interrelationship between factors influencing cassava yield. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, 26:1594-1602.
- Nweke FI, Spencer DSC. and Lynam JK. 2002. The cassava transformation: Africa's best kept secret. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan. 273 pp.
- Okogbenin E, Ekanayake IJ. and Porto MCM. 2003. Genotypic variability in adaptation responses of selected clones of cassava to drought stress in the Sudan savanna zone of Nigeria. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 189, 376-389.
- Okogbenin E, Marin J. and Fregene M. 2008. QTL analysis for early yield in a pseudo F₂ population of cassava. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(2):31-138.
- Okogbenin E, Setter TL, Ferguson ME, Mutegi R, Alves AC, Ceballos H. and Fregene M. 2011. Phenotyping cassava for adaptation to drought. In P Monneveux, J-M Ribaut, eds. Drought Phenotyping in Crops: From Theory to Practice. CIMMYT/Generation Challenge Programme, Mexico City. pp 381-400.

- Okogbenin E. and Fregene M. 2002. Genetic and QTL mapping of early root bulking in an F₂ mapping population of non-inbred parents in cassava (*Manihotesculenta*Crantz). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 106:58–66.
- Otoo E, Okonkwo CR. and Asiedu R. 2006. Stability studies of hybrid yam genotypes in Ghana. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 4(1):234-238.
- Payne RW, Murray DA, Harding SA, Baird DB.andSoutar DM. 2009. Genstat for Windows (12th Edition) Introduction. VSN International, Hemel Hempstead
- Raza A, Razzaq A, Mehmood SS, Zou X, Zhang X, Lv Y. and Xu J. 2019. Impact of climate change on crops adaptation and strategies to tackle its outcome: A review. *Plants (Basel)*, 8(34):1-29.
- Ssemakula G. and Dixon AGO. 2007. Genotype x environment interaction, stability and agronomic performance of carotenoid-rich cassava. *Scientific Research and Essay*, 2(9):390-399.