

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90482
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio- efficacy and economics of Diatomaceous earth against gram pod borer [<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner)] in chickpea with combination of <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> , <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> and neem oil.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Deadline 31_July_2022

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article has very <i>sensitive objective</i> concerning the need to moderately withdraw from the chemical-based pesticide policies to <i>more sustainable and ecological approaches</i>. The study analyses efficient level of chemical-based pesticide for creating amounts of yields in the agricultural production in India. The theoretical and practical experiences of this article can be very useful to be used in other regions of India even in other countries. The efficient level of the different chemical-based pesticides can be titled as a new production technology even top technology in the agricultural production.</p> <p>The authors show out the different results of different kinds of pesticides based on the amounts of yields. Therefore, their suggestions can be strengthened by real researching scientific results, which make their scientific results be used in other parts of India and other countries at the international wide-side level.</p> <p>The <i>methods of their analyses</i> are very exact and well worked out.</p> <p>The authors used <i>many-side references</i> from international and national authors.</p> <p>The article is <i>well edited and correct in its scientific results</i>, each part of the article is connecting and relating with each- others.</p> <p><i>I suggest that this article is to be published</i> in international scientific journal.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The authors show out the different results of different kinds of pesticides based on the amounts of yields. Therefore, their suggestions can be strengthened by real researching scientific results, which make their scientific results be used in other parts of India and other countries at the international wide-side level.</p> <p>The article is <i>well edited and correct in its scientific results</i>, each part of the article is connecting and relating with each- others.</p> <p><i>I suggest that this article is to be published</i> in international scientific journal.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors show out the different results of different kinds of pesticides based on the amounts of yields. Therefore, their suggestions can be strengthened by real researching scientific results, which make their scientific results be used in other parts of India and other countries at the international wide-side level.</p> <p><i>I suggest that this article is to be published</i> in international scientific journal.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zsarnóczai, J. Sándor
Department, University & Country	National University of Public Service, Hungary