

## Original Research Article

### **Bio- efficacy and economics of Diatomaceous earth against gram pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] in chickpea with combination of *Beauveria bassiana* ,*Metarhizium anisopliae* and neem oil.**

#### **ABSTRACT**

An experiment was conducted during 2021-22 to study the effect of Diatomaceous earth and biopesticides against gram pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] in chickpea at Central Research Farm (CRF), Department of Entomology, SHUATS, Prayagraj during *rabi* season with seven treatments i.e., Diatomaceous earth (T<sub>1</sub>), Neem oil 3% (T<sub>2</sub>), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.5% L.F (T<sub>3</sub>), *Metarhizium anisopliae* (T<sub>4</sub>), Diatomaceous earth+neem oil 3% (T<sub>5</sub>), Diatomaceous earth+ *B.bassiana* 1.5% L.F (T<sub>6</sub>), Diatomaceous earth+ *M. anisopliae* (T<sub>7</sub>) and untreated control (T<sub>8</sub>) was evaluated against chickpea pod borer (*H.armigera*). Results revealed that, Among the different treatments, the highest per-cent population reduction of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Diatomaceous earth+neem oil 3% (72.480%) followed by Diatomaceous earth+ *B.bassiana* 1.5% L.F (71.241%), Diatomaceous earth+ *M.anisopliae* (69.400%). It is followed by Diatomaceous earth (66.052%) and neem oil 3% (38.281%), *B.bassiana* 1.5% L.F (36.455%) and *M. anisopliae* (31.911%) was the least effective among all treatments. While, the highest yield 20.55q/ha was obtained from the treatment Diatomaceous earth+neem oil 3% as well as B:C ratio 1:3.58 was obtained high from this treatment. It was followed by Diatomaceous earth+ *B.bassiana* 1.5% L.F (1:3.40), Diatomaceous earth+ *M.anisopliae* (1:3.21), Diatomaceous earth (1:2.96), neem oil 3% (1:2.49), *B.bassiana* 1.5% L.F (1:2.31), *M. anisopliae* (1:2.12), as compared to Control (1:1.39).

Comment [u1]: Indicate what this represents??

**KEY WORDS:** Bio-efficacy, benefit cost ratio, *Cicer arietinum*, diatomaceous earth, *Helicoverpa armigera*.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Chickpea, [*Cicer arietinum* L.] is the third most important food legume .It is a legume plant of family Fabaceae. It is a herbaceous annual plant with height ranging from 30-70 cm. It has tap

root system bearing symbiotic nodules with rhizobium bacteria which are capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen in plant usable form. Chickpea pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a polyphagous pest, Multivoltine and cosmopolitan pest and is reported to feed and breed on 182 species of host plants belonging to 47 families in India, which causes severe damage in chickpea (Sithanantham, 1983 and Pawar, 1998). *Helicoverpa armigera* causes 90 to 95 % of total damage in chickpea. This pest have high mobility, high reproductive rate and diapause are major factors contributing to its serious pest status (Fitt *et al.*, 1989 and Sharma *et al.*, 2005).

~~*H. armigera*, which causes severe losses in chickpea.~~ Though, application of Diatomaceous earths (DE), Neem oil and entomopathogenic fungi with different mode of action at proper crop stage is significant for its management. Diatomaceous earths are a type of naturally occurring soft siliceous sedimentary rock, consisting of the fossilized exoskeleton of unicellular algae. Inert powders are rich in silicon and can prevent the attack of pests and plant diseases. Prolonged activity, along with the difficulty of insects to develop resistance to this type of product, make these important tools in pest control (Costa *et al.*, 2006), (Korunic *et al.*, 1998), (Lorini *et al.*, 2001). Diatomaceous earths DE kills insects by removing the protective lipid layer of the insect cuticle, leading to death by dehydration. The abrasive activity of DE enhanced the insecticidal efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi. So, inert dusts synergized the pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*. (Athanassiou *et al.*, 2006).

These cues led us to investigate the entomotoxicity of Diatomaceous earth, biopesticides and their combinations against *H. armigera*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Central Research Field (CRF), Department of Entomology, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (UP) during 2021-22 during *rabi* season.

The experiment materials consist of pusa 362 of chick pea, which is released from Indian Agricultural research institute New Delhi. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications consisting of 7 treatments having one control, Diatomaceous earth, neem oil and two entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* were used. Diatomaceous earth (T1), Neem oil 3% (T2), *B. bassiana* 1.5% L.F (T3), *M. anisopliae* (T4), Diatomaceous earth+neem oil 3% (T5), Diatomaceous earth+ *B.*

*bassiana* 1.5% L.F (T6), Diatomaceous earth+ *M. anisopliae* (T7). The seed rate of 80 kg/ha was utilized to raise the crop. Plots of size of 2m×2m was made. Sowing was done with 30 cm × 10 cm spacing and applied dose of FYM is 12.5t/ha and N, P, K is 25 kg, 50kg and 25kg/ha respectively. The population of *Helicoverpa armigera* was recorded before 1-day spraying and on 3<sup>rd</sup> day, 7<sup>th</sup> day and 14<sup>th</sup> day after insecticidal application. The populations of *H. armigera* was recorded on 5 randomly selected and tagged plants from each plot and then it was converted into per cent of reduction by following formula., following formula giving by (Henderson *et al.*, 1955.)

Comment [u2]: GIVE ITS WHOLE TERM

$$\text{Percent reduction} = \frac{\text{Population in control} - \text{Population in treatment}}{\text{Population in control}} \times 100$$

The benefit cost ratio (BCR) was determined by dividing the additional returns with the additional cost of imposing the respective treatment on hectare basis-following formula giving by (Hossain *et al.* ,2010).

$$B.C.R = \frac{\text{Gross Returns}}{\text{Total Cost of Protection}}$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First spray: Per cent population reduction of chickpea pod borer on 3<sup>rd</sup> ,7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> day revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments the highest per cent larva reduction was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> - Diatomaceous Earth+Neem oil 3% (71.29%) followed by T<sub>6</sub> – Diatomaceous Earth+ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.5% L.F (69.98%), T<sub>7</sub> - Diatomaceous Earth+ *Metarhizium anisopliae* (68.06%), T<sub>1</sub> - Diatomaceous Earth (63.699%), T<sub>2</sub> - Neem oil 3% (25.81%), T<sub>3</sub>- *B. bassiana* 1.5% L.F (23.91%) and Treatment T<sub>4</sub> – *M. anisopliae* (20.085%) was reported with minimum per cent larva reduction. (T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>,) and ( T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>,T<sub>6</sub>,T<sub>5</sub>), was found statistically at par with each other.

Second spray : Per cent population reduction of chickpea pod borer on 3<sup>rd</sup>,7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments the highest per cent larva reduction was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> (73.66%) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (71.94%), T<sub>7</sub> (71.29%), T<sub>1</sub> (68.40%), T<sub>2</sub> (50.75%), T<sub>3</sub> (48.99%) and Treatment T<sub>4</sub> (43.73%) was reported with minimum per cent population reduction. (T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, ) and (T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>) was found statistically at par with each other.

The data on the mean per cent population reduction of first spray and second spray overall mean revealed that all the treatments except untreated control are effective and at par. Among all the treatments highest per cent reduction of chickpea pod borer as well as increasing the yield was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> (72.48%) . Similar findings made by **Zeni et al., (2021)**, **Constanski et al., (2016)**, **Aniwanou et al., (2020)**<sup>[1]</sup>. T<sub>6</sub> (71.24%) is found to be the next best treatment which is in line with the findings of **Sabbour et al., (2012)**, **Arooni-Hesari et al., (2015)**, they reported that was found most effective in reducing percent population. T<sub>7</sub>(69.40%) is found to be the next best treatment which is in line with the findings of **Sabbour et al., (2012)**. T<sub>1</sub> (66.05%) is found to be the next effective treatment which is in line with the findings of **Gesraha. et al., (2017)**, **Zeni et al., (2021)**, **Ebadollahi et al.,(2018)**, **Mucha-Pelzer et al.,(2008)** . T<sub>2</sub> (38.28%) is found to be the next effective treatment which is in line with the findings of **Reza et al., (2016)**, **Kumar et al., (2019)**. The result of T<sub>3</sub> (36.45%) which is at par with T<sub>4</sub>(31.91%) is found to be least effective but comparatively superior over the control, these findings are supported by **Singh et al., (2009)**, **Fite et al., (2020)**, **Prasad et al.,(2008)**.

The yields among the treatments were significant. The highest yield was recorded in Diatomaceous Earth+Neem oil 3% (20.55q/ha) followed by Diatomaceous Earth+ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.5% L.F (19.72q/ha), Diatomaceous Earth+ *Metarhizium anisopliae* (18.88q/ha), Diatomaceous Earth (17.5q/ha), Neem oil 3% (15.55q/ha), *B. bassiana* 1.5% L.F (14.72q/ha), *M.anisopliae* (13.88q/ha), as compared to control plot (9.72q/ha).

When cost benefit ratio was worked out, interesting result was concluded. Among the treatments studied, the best and most economical treatment was Diatomaceous Earth+Neem oil 3% (1:3.58) followed by Diatomaceous Earth+ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.5% L.F (1:3.40), Diatomaceous Earth+ *Metarhizium anisopliae* (1:3.21), Diatomaceous Earth (1:2.96), Neem oil 3% (1:2.49), *B. bassiana* 1.5% L.F (1:2.31), *M.anisopliae* (1:2.12), as compared to Control (1:1.39). The highest yield and cost benefit ratio was recorded in Diatomaceous Earth+Neem oil 3% (20.55q/ha & 1:3.58) followed by Diatomaceous Earth+*B.bassiana* 1.5%L.F (19.72q/ha&1:3.4) .

**TABLE 1. Effect of Diatomaceous earth( Inert dust) and biopesticides against pod borer, *H. armigera* on chickpea during rabi season of 2021-22. [Explain about DBS, DAS etc... under this table??](#)**

T.No	Treatments	First spray				Second Spray				Mean	Over all mean 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> spray	Yield q/ha	B:C ratio	
		larval population /5 plants (DBS)	Percentage of reduction of larval population / 5 plants			Mean	larval population /5 plants (DBS)	Percentage of reduction of larval population / 5 plants						
			3DAS	7DAS	14DAS			3DAS	7DAS					14DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	Diatomaceous Earth	2.8	63.39	65.90	61.79	63.69	1.4	66.17	71.92	67.10	68.40	66.05	17.5	1:2.96
T <sub>2</sub>	Neem oil	3	16.66	26.36	34.40	25.81	2.4	40.93	52.63	58.68	50.75	38.28	15.55	1:2.49
T <sub>3</sub>	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.5% L.F	2.86	14.58	24.50	32.65	23.91	2.46	39.18	50.87	56.92	48.99	36.45	14.72	1:2.31
T <sub>4</sub>	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	2.93	10.41	20.69	29.14	20.08	2.6	35.76	42.10	53.33	43.73	31.91	13.88	1:2.12
T <sub>5</sub>	Diatomaceous Earth+ Neem oil 3%	3.06	71.13	73.63	69.10	71.29	1.13	71.44	77.19	72.36	73.66	72.47	20.55	1:3.58
T <sub>6</sub>	Diatomaceous Earth+ <i>B. bassiana</i> 1.5% L.F	2.93	69.17	71.67	69.10	69.98	1.13	71.44	75.43	68.94	71.94	70.96	19.72	1:3.40
T <sub>7</sub>	Diatomaceous Earth+ <i>M.anisopliae</i>	2.867	67.21	69.71	67.25	68.05	1.2	69.59	73.68	70.61	71.29	69.67	18.88	1:3.21
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	9.72	1:1.39
	F-test	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	-----	-----
	S. Ed (±)	-	2.58	3.47	3.46	4.06	0.13	4.02	4.02	4.25	3.95	3.95	-----	-----
	C.D. (P = 0.5)	-	5.95	8.03	8.07	9.18	0.27	9.44	10.09	9.88	8.89	8.89	-----	-----



Figure 1 Effect of ~~Diatomaceous earth~~ **Diatomaceous earth** (Inert dust) and biopesticides against pod borer, *H. armigera* on chickpea during rabi season of 2021-22. (First spray)

Efficacy of DE was tested against *Helicoverpa armigera*. DE+ neem oil was the most effective and achieved the highest percentage of reduction. DE+neem oil and DE+ *Beauveria bassiana* were the highlight treatments against *H.armigera*. Neem oil combining with DE enhance their properties, pursuing better insecticidal performances. Constraints of the use of neem oils, such as their poor penetration, strong odor, lack of persistence and high concentration requirements could be reduced if combined with DE. Neem oil increased the DE efficacy by increasing insect's locomotion activity through the particles and, at the same time, DE reduced the oil concentration for the satisfactory protection. The lowest percentage of reduction was recorded in case of *Metarhizium anisopliae*. The influence of temperature on the insecticidal effect of DE against *H.armigera* has been extensively evaluated. Generally, at the larval stage, the increase of temperature increased DE efficacy, because at higher temperature insects are more mobile, so the possibility of picking up more DE particles increases (Korunic *et al.*, 1998, Subramanyam *et al.*, 2000, Athanassiou *et al.*, 2006).

Our findings indicated that entomopathogenic fungi have low insecticidal toxicity against *H.armigera*. Application of *B.bassiana* alone is less effective and toxicity increase when combined with DE. Results exalted the suitability of fungi as crop protectants but also pointed out their need for peculiar humid conditions to achieve satisfactory conidial adherence, germination, and penetration through the cuticle. The synergistic effect between DE and entomopathogenic fungi expands the area for fungal spore penetration, increasing insect mycosis. (Zeni *et al.*, 2021). Applications of mixtures with these two ecologically compatible agents is a very appealing approach to IPM in *H.armigera*. The application of DE+ *B. bassiana* combined considerably increased larval percentage of reduction in *H. armigera*, temperature and longer exposure intervals compared with DE+ *B. bassiana* and *B. bassiana* alone. Application of DE+ *Metarhizium anisopliae* resulted in higher percentage of reduction of the *H.armigera* compared to the efficacies of each compound alone.

## CONCLUSION

~~The need to moderately withdraw from the chemical based pesticide policies to more sustainable and ecological approaches.~~ Among the treatments studied, Diatomaceous Earth+Neem oil 3% gave the highest cost benefit ratio (1:3.58) and marketing yield (20.55q/ha) followed by Diatomaceous Earth+ *B. bassiana* 1.5% L.F (1:3.40) and 19.722q/ha), Diatomaceous Earth+ *M. anisopliae* (1:3.21 and 18.88q/ha), Diatomaceous Earth (1:2.96 and 17.5q/ha), Neem oil 3% *B. bassiana* 1.5% L.F, *M.*

*anisopillae* respectively as such more trials are required in future to validate the findings. Hence more trails are needed to be conducted in future to validate the findings which can be useful for the farmers in a feasible manner for sustainable production of chickpea and to prevent the losses occurring from this insect pest infesting the crop.

## REFERENCES

- Aniwanou, C. T., Sinzogan, A. A., Deguenon, J. M., Sikirou, R., Stewart, D. A. and Ahanchede, A. (2020). Bio-efficacy of diatomaceous earth, household soaps, and neem oil against *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae in Benin. *Insects*, **12**(1): 18.
- Arooni-Hesari, M., Talaei-Hassanloui, R. and Sabahi, Q. (2015). Simultaneous use of entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* and diatomaceous earth against the larvae of Indian Meal Moth, *Plodia interpunctella*. *Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology*, **6**(08): 501.
- Athanassiou, C. G. (2006). Influence of instar and commodity on insecticidal effect of two diatomaceous earth formulations against larvae of *Ephesia kuehniella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Journal of economic entomology*, **99**(5): 1905-1911.
- Constanski, K. C., Zorzetti, J., Santoro, P. H., Hoshino, A. T. and Neves, P. M. O. J. (2016). Inert powders alone or in combination with neem oil for controlling *Spodoptera eridania* and *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, **37**(4): 1801-1810.
- Costa, R.R. and Moraes, J. C. (2006) Efeitos do ácido silícico e do acibenzolar-s-methyl na resistência de plantas de trigo ao *Schizaphis graminum* (Rondani) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Neotropical Entomology, Londrina*, **35** (6): 834- 839.
- Ebadollahi, A. and Sadeghi, R. (2018). Diatomaceous Earth and Kaolin as Promising Alternatives to the Detrimental Chemicals in the Management of *Spodoptera exigua*. *Journal of Entomology*, **15**(2): 101-105.
- Fite, T., Tefera, T., Negeri, M., Damte, T. and Sori, W. (2020). Evaluation of *Beauveria bassiana*,

*Metarhizium anisopliae*, and *Bacillus thuringiensis* for the management of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) under laboratory and field conditions. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, **30**(3): 278-295.

Fitt, G. P.( 1989). The ecology of *Helicoverpa* in relation to agro-ecosystems. *Annual review of Entomology* **34**: 17-52.

Gesraha, M. A., Ebeid, A. R., Salem, N. Y. and Abdou, W. L. (2017). Comparative study on some biological indices of *Agrotis ipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae treated with three control agents under laboratory conditions. *Annual Research & Review in Biology*, **21**(6):1-8.

Henderson, C. F. and Tilton, E. W. (1955). Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. *Journal of economic entomology*, **48**(2):157-161.

Hossain, M. A., Haque, M. A., Ahmad, M. and Prodhan, M. Z. H. (2010). Development of an integrated management approach for pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, **35**(2): 201-206.

Korunic (1998). Diatomaceous earths, a group of natural insecticides. *Journal of Stored Products Research, Philadelphia*, **4**( 2): 87-97.

Kumar, A., Tripathi, M. K., Chandra, U. and Veer, R. (2019). Efficacy of botanicals and bio-pesticide against *Helicoverpa armigera* in chickpea. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **7**(1): 54-57.

Lorini, I., ferreira, filho, A., Barbieri, I., Demaman, N.A., Martins, R. R. and Dalbello, O.(2001).Terra de diatomáceas como alternativa no controle de pragas de milho armazenado em propriedade familiar. *Agroecologia e Desenvolvimento Rural e Sustentável, Porto Alegre*, **2**( 4):32-36.

Mucha-Pelzer, T., Debnath, N., Goswami, A., Mewis, I. and Ulrichs, C. (2008). Bekämpfung von *Epilachna vigintioctopunctata* (F.) und *Spodoptera litura* (F.) mit Silikaten. *Gesunde Pflanzen*, **60**(1): 23-28.

- Pawar, V. M. (1998). Microbial control of *Helicoverpa* sp. on pulse crops. *IPM System in Agriculture*, **4**: 55-78.
- Prasad, J. (2008). Efficacy of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* against *Helicoverpa armigera* in Chickpea, under Field Conditions in Nepal *Formosan Entomo*, **28**: 249-258.
- Reza, M. E., Islam, M. A. M. I. M., and Roy, H. P. (2016). *Ecofriendly management of chickpea pod borer* **9** (06) : 29-34.
- Sabbour, M., Abd-El-Aziz, S. and Sherief, M. (2012). Efficacy of three entomopathogenic fungi alone or in combination with diatomaceous earth modifications for the control of three pyralid moths in stored grains. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*. **52** (3):360-363.
- Sharma, H. C., Stevenson, P. C. and Gowda, C. L. L. (2005). Heliothis/Helicoverpa Management Emerging Trends and Strategies for Future Research. *Oxford and IBH Publishers*, **81**(204): 453 – 462.
- Singh, H., Singh, H. R., Yadav, R. N., Yadav, K. G. and Yadav, A. (2009). Efficacy and economics of some bio-pesticide in management of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub) on chickpea. *Pestology*, **33**(7): 36-37.
- Sithanantham, S., Rao, V. R. and Ghaffar, M. A. (1983). International review of crop losses caused by insects on chickpea. In Proceedings of the National Seminar on Crop Losses due to Insect Pests **7**( 9): 269-283.
- Subramanyam, B. and Roesli, R. (2000). Inert dusts. *In Alternatives to pesticides in stored-product IPM*, 321-380.
- Zeni, V., Baliota, G. V., Benelli, G., Canale, A. and Athanassiou, C. G. (2021). Diatomaceous earth for arthropod pest control: Back to the future. *Molecules*, **26**(24): 74-87.

