

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90404
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Effective Calcium Carbonate Equivalence of Rice Husk Ash
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper is well written, it just needs some minor adjustments. For example, million hectares are "Mha", not "mha".</p> <p>Table 1 isn't really a table, please make it looks like one.</p> <p>Check phrases like "Rice husk ash were collected from Sneham rice mill, Pazhathara, Kerala". Is it correct?</p> <p>Try not to use citation in Conclusion.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Horoias Roxana
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Romania