

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90330
Title of the Manuscript:	Best row ratio combinations of agronomic crops in intercropping system: An Overview
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title:</b> Concise, clear, and informative.</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> Clear and informative. Some modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Long and too many paragraphs. The introduction has to be rearranged into three paragraphs only i.e. 1. Introduction 2. Significance of the study, and 3. Aim of the study.. Many modifications were suggested to the authors.</p> <p><b>The aim:</b> Not listed.</p> <p><b>Body of the review:</b> Clear to some extent. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. The writing style has to be enhanced a little bit with the rephrasing of many sentences to provide a more sound meaning.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Not listed.</p> <p><b>References:</b> MUST BE UPDATED as only 25.71% (18 out of 70) of the listed references were published in the past five years. This percentage has to be improved to at least 35-40%.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Accepted with major revision.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>General view:</b> The manuscript was written in moderate English and grammar. Revision and copy editing is recommended.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Essam Samir Soliman
Department, University & Country	Suez Canal University, Egypt