

Plant growth promoting and biocontrol potential of selected cyanobacteria from stress environment

Abstract

Cyanobacteria are photosynthetic microorganisms and produce large number of diverse bioactive compounds. In the present study plant growth promotion and antifungal activity of fourteen cyanobacterial strains have been studied against selected phytopathogens. Among screened isolates IAA production was found highest in *Chroococcidiopsis cubana* HC1 (102.9 µg/ml) when supplemented with 0.3 mg/mL tryptophan. Co-inoculation of *Chroococcidiopsis cubana* HC1 and *Tolypothrix* sp. RC1 were evaluated for plant growth promoting potential on rice (Rajendra Shweta) plant which showed enhanced root (20.7±1.0 cm) and shoot length (11.4±1.3 cm) as well as increased number of forks (36.0±1.0) as compared to control seedlings. Among fourteen isolates eight cyanobacterial isolates showed zone of inhibition against selected phytopathogens. *Tolypothrix* sp. RC1 and *Nostoc* sp. SK1 showed highest rate of inhibition (50%) against *Ralstonia solanacearum* while *Pseudoanabaena* sp. RD1 showed highest inhibition rate (52%) against *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Nostoc* sp. HC2 found to be highest inhibition (61%) against *Fusarium oxysporum*. The cyanobacteria reported in this study have immense potential for biocontrol against fungal plant pathogens and plant growth promoting ability which enhances crop growth. Cyanobacterial isolates obtained from the study could be worthy in sustainable agriculture due to dual advantages of biocontrol as well as plant growth promotion.

Key words: Antifungal, Cyanobacteria, Plant growth promotion, Biocontrol, Phytopathogens, Stress

1. Introduction

Use of chemical fertilizers and fungicides to control plant diseases increasing daily and need to think about climate resilient approach for mitigating this problems. Cyanobacteria are photosynthetic microorganisms involved in global oxygen supply and primary production of biomass in aquatic ecosystem. Cyanobacteria have the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen. They are important component of rice ecosystem and known to fix 20-25 kg N /ha/season [1] and important organisms which help in carbon dioxide (CO₂) sequestration [2]. Cyanobacteria have a wider adaptability and found in diverse ecological niches. [3] reported

Comment [U1]: at the beginning of writing it is written in full, then enough *C. cubana*

different types of heterocystous and nonheterocystous cyanobacteria from diverse ecological niches of India, including hot water spring of Odisha, cold regions of Leh and Uttarakhand, marine water from Odisha and arsenic contaminated field of Ballia, Uttar Pradesh. Over several years cyanobacteria have been utilized as biofertilizer in rice crop for nitrogen fixation along with plant growth promoting activities. In general, extraction of bioactive compounds from cyanobacteria is performed to discover new compounds for pharmaceutical, agricultural or biological application and also for the better understanding of the interactions of these organisms within ecosystem[4]. Phytohormones such as IAA, IBA, gibberellins, cytokinin, abscisic acid and jasmonic acid have been reported to produce among cyanobacteria [5]. Many reports have shown production of plant growth promoting hormones such as IAA, IBA, cytokinin by cyanobacteria (Table 1), [6,7,8,9]. Cyanobacteria could produce induced systemic resistance by producing diverse range of biologically active molecules in the rhizosphere which elicit the plant growth under different stresses [10,11,12]. Plant pathogens include fungi, nematodes, bacteria and viruses which can cause damages up to various levels. Among these pathogens plant pathogenic fungi are responsible for pre and post harvest diseases including yield losses in numerous economically important crops. *Ralstonia solanacearum* an economically important pathogen with a wide host range and distributed geographically in the large number of areas [13]. Cyanobacteria could protect plant by providing mechanical and physical strength of the cell wall. Physiochemical reactions are altered by producing defence related chemicals against the phytopathogens. Major defence enzymes involved in plant growth are chitinase, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), polyphenol oxidase (PO) phenolics and phytoalexins [14]. [15] showed enhanced production of defence enzymes 189% and 239% of PAL and PPO respectively, resulting in increased plant growth parameters and bioprotection against *Fusarium* wilt of tomato. Antifungal compounds heneicosane, palmitoleic acid, 2,4-di-tert-butylphenol, dibutyl phthalate, heptadecane, Tetradecane, 7-methylheptadecane, octadecanoic acid, nitrocyclohexane-2-hexyl-1, boronic acid, cyclohexylethylamine and methyl palmitate from cyanobacteria has been reported by various researchers [9,16,17,4]. Spirulina extract showed antifungal activity by significantly reducing glucosamine production (56%) from *Aspergillus flavus* [18]. Essential metabolic reactions required for reproduction and growth of fungi has been shown to inhibit by changes in the enzyme nature inside the cell. Phenolic compound produced by cyanobacteria contains free hydroxy radical groups which forms hydrogen bonds with carbohydrates and proteins found in the fungal cell resulting in the inhibition of pathogen. Present study deals with the evaluation of cyanobacteria isolated from

stressed environment for plant growth promoting potential and antifungal activity against selected phytopathogen.

Chart 1 : List of cyanobacteria and their characteristics

Cyanobacteria	Habitat	PGPR trait	Tryptophan concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Production	Beneficiary Crop	Reference
<i>Phormidium</i> sp. MI405019	Mangrove	IAA	50	11.71 $\mu\text{g/mg}$ Chl-a	Tobacco	[19]
<i>Nostoc</i> OS-1	Rice endophyte	IAA	-	21 pmol/mg Chl-a	Wheat, Rice	[20]
<i>Anabaena</i> Ck1	Rice endophyte	IAA	-	199.95 (ng/ml)	<i>B.oleraceae</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	[21]
<i>Chroococcidiopsis</i> Ck4	Rice endophyte	Cytokinin	-	9.20 (ng/ml)	<i>B.oleraceae</i> var. <i>capitata</i>	[21]
<i>Fisherellamuscicola</i> N DUCP001	Rice field	IAA	500	286.82 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Rice	[7]
<i>Nostoc</i> Pc	-	IAA	-	23 (pmol/mg Chl a)		[22]
<i>Anabaena</i> sp. CW1	-	IAA	-	11.43 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	-	[12]
<i>Cylindrospermumstagnale</i>	-	IAA	1500	95.6 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	-	[6]
<i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp.	Estuarine water	IAA	400	51.06 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	-	[23]
<i>Geitlerinema</i> sp.	Estuarine water	IAA	400	67.87 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	-	[23]

<i>Anabaena vaginicola</i>	Paddy field	IBA	-	1.275($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DW)		[8]
<i>Nostoc calcicola</i>	Paddy field	IBA	-	2.958 ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DW)	Rice	[8]
<i>Aulosirafertilissima</i>	-	IAA	100	7.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$	Rice	[24]
<i>Nostoc piscinale</i>	-	IBA	-	687.9 ng/g dw	Rice	[9]
<i>Nostoc piscinale</i>	-	Cytokinin (TZR)		5269.67 ng/g dw		[9]
<i>Anabaena doliolum</i>	-	ISR (SA)		44 ng/g dw		[25]
		ISR (SA)	-	3654.74 ng/g dw		[9]

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Organisms and cultivation

Fourteen cyanobacterial cultures isolated and identified in previous study [3]. Among the cyanobacteria heterocystous and non heterocystous group have been selected for screening of IAA production and antifungal activity against plant pathogens. Pure cyanobacterial cultures were deposited at NAIMCC, Mau, Uttar Pradesh, India (Table 1) and maintained under controlled light and temperature conditions in BG 11 medium with or without nitrogen source under 50-55 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity, $27 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ temperature and 16:8 light and dark cycle [26]

2.2 Indol Acetic Acid production

Cyanobacterial isolates were inoculated in BG11 medium supplemented with 300 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ tryptophan. Cultures without tryptophan served as control. 15 days old cultures were harvested and centrifuged at 8000 g for 10 minutes. Supernatant was collected and filter sterilized with 0.45 μm syringe filter (Axiva). Two mL of supernatant mixed with 4 mL of the Salkowski reagent (50 ml, 35% of perchloric acid, 1 mL 0.5 M FeCl_3 solution). The amount of IAA was quantified spectrophotometrically by recording the intensity of pink color at 530 nm using calibration curve of standard IAA (Himedia) stock solution (10–100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) prepared in 50% ethanol [27].

2.3 Pot experiment

Seeds of rice (Rajendra Shweta) were obtained from Indian Institute of Seed Science, Mau, Uttar Pradesh, India. Seeds were washed in tap water to remove any deformed seed. The seeds were surface sterilized with 2 % (v/v) sodium hypochlorite for 3 min, washed repeatedly with distilled water. Seed were treated with 15 days old selected cyanobacteria inoculum. Treatments used for pot experiments were as T0=Control, T1=*Tolypothrix* sp., T2=*Chroococcidiopsis cubana*, T3=*Tolypothrix* sp. + *C. cubana*. The experiment was set up in Plant growth Chamber (Atlanta Drugs and Chemical, Kolkata, India). The pots (15 × 15 cm) used in experiment were sterilized by dipping them in 5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 20 min. Control and inoculated seeds (10 seeds per pot) were sown in sterilized pot containing autoclaved soil (approximately 500 g). Pots were observed and watered regularly during this period. After 15 days, plants were harvested and their growth parameters were analysed. Apart from shoot length all the root parameters were recorded with root scanner Expression 1200XL Scanner, with WIN RHIZO programme V. 2002 C software (Regent Instruments Inc. Ltd. Quebec, Canada). Shoot length was measured manually with scale.

2.4 Preparation of crude extract

For the extraction of metabolites 5 g each of the test cyanobacteria biomass was mixed in a glass flask with 100 mL of methanol: acetone: diethyl ether (5:2:1) and shaken for 3 days at 20 °C after that mixture was separated from biomass by filtration with Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The extract was kept in the water bath at 40 °C under the fume hood till the extract evaporated to dryness. The obtained residue was dissolved in 2 mL distilled water to get the final concentration of 50 mg/mL of the crude extract.

2.5 Screening of cyanobacterial culture for antifungal bioassay

All fourteen cyanobacterial cultures have been evaluated against plant pathogens for antifungal activity by disc diffusion assay. Virulent strains of fungal plant pathogens (*Ralstonia solanacearum*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, *Fusarium oxysporum*) were obtained from NAIMCC, Mau, Uttar Pradesh, India. Test organisms were inoculated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA (g/l); Potato 200, Glucose 20, and Agar 15). The plates were incubated at 28±2°C temperature. Sterile filter paper disc of 5 mm was soaked in 50 µl crude extract and placed on PDA. 5 mm diameter of 5 day old mycelial disc of test pathogen at the centre was also placed. Methanol (50µl) and nystatin (100 U/disc) were used as negative and positive control respectively. The colony diameter and zone of inhibition was measured after incubation at 28

°C for 3-5 days. Percentage inhibition rate was calculated as $(B-A)/(B-5) \times 100\%$, where A represents the mean colony diameter in the Petri dishes with crude extract, B is the mean colony diameter in control Petri dishes.

3. Results and discussion

Phytohormones such as IAA, IBA, gibberellins, cytokinin, abscisic acid and jasmonic acid have been reported to produce in the cyanobacteria [5] (Manickavelu et al 2006). Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria's (PGPRs/Biocontrol Agents (BAs)) mainly produce auxins, especially IAA, which enhance the development of the host plant root system and thus promote the growth of plants [28]. Eighteen cyanobacteria were isolated from different ecological niches of India (Table 1) in previous study [3] characterized and grouped into heterocystous (*Nostoc*, *Hapalosiphon*, *Tolypothrix*, *Scytonema*) and non heterocystous (*Lyngbya*, *Leptolyngbya*, *Phormidium*, *Oscillatoria*, *Chroococcidiopsis*, *Aphanathece*) cyanobacteria. All the isolates have been deposited at National Agriculturally Important Microbial Culture Collection (NAIMCC), Mau, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Table 1. Details of cyanobacteria used in the study

Sr. No.	Strain name	NAIMCC accession No.	Habitat	Location	Longitude & Latitude
1	<i>Nostoc</i> sp. HC2	NAIMCC-C-C-00239	Soil	Valley of Flower Uttarakhand, India	30.72 N and 79.60 E
2	<i>Nostoc</i> sp. BG1	NA	Lake	Chilka, Odisha, India	19.84 N, 85.47 E
3	<i>Nostoc elongense</i> BG1	NAIMCC-C-C-00236	Lake	Chilka, Odisha, India	19.84 N, 85.47 E
4	<i>Arthronema africanum</i> . SB2	NAIMCC-C-C-00241	Hot spring	Atri, Odisha, India	20.15 N, 85.30 E

5	<i>Trichormus Azollae. SB1</i>	NAIMCC- C-C- 00234	Soil	Brahamagiri Odisha, India	19.78 N, 85.61 E
6	<i>Oscillatoria sp. SK2</i>	NAIMCC- C-C- 00244	Lake	Pangong, Leh Jammu and Kashmir, India	33.75 N, 78.66E
7	<i>Hapalosiphon sp. BG2</i>	NAIMCC- C-C- 00237	Soil	Bhitarkanika Odisha, India	20.71 N, 86.82 E
8	<i>Chroococciopsiscubana. HC1</i>	NAIMCC- C-C- 00238	Soil	Valley of Flower Uttarakhand, India	30.72 N and 79.60 E
9	<i>Hapalosiphonsp. SB10</i>	NAIMCC- C-C- 00235	Beach soil	Puri, Odisha, India	85.83 N, 19.81 E
10	<i>Chroococccussp SB4</i>	NAIMCC- C-C- 00242	Hot spring	Atri, Odisha, India	20.15 N, 85.30 E
11	<i>Aphanothecesp. SB3</i>	NAIMCC- C-C- 00243	Soil	Guwahati Assam, India	26.14 N, 91.73 E
12	<i>Nostocsp. SK1</i>	NA	Lake	Pangong, Leh Jammu and Kashmir, India	33.75 N, 78.66E
13	<i>Scytonemasp. RC1</i>	NAIMCC- C-C- 00229	Arsenic contaminated soil	Phephna, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh, India	25.77 N; 84.03 E

14	<i>Pseudoanabaenasp.</i> RD1	NAIMCC- C-C- 00231	Arsenic contaminated soil	Ekauna, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh, India	25.71 N, 84.27 E
15	<i>Leptolyngbyasp.</i> RH1	NAIMCC- C-C- 00232	Arsenic contaminated soil	Phephna, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh, India	25.77 N; 84.03 E
16	<i>Leptolyngbyasp.</i> RC2	NAIMCC- C-C- 00230	Arsenic contaminated soil	Phephna, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh, India	25.77 N; 84.03 E
17	<i>Phormidiumsp.</i> SB9	NAIMCC- C-C- 00233	Lake	Chilka, Odisha, India	19.84 N, 85.47 E
18	<i>Leptolyngbya sp.</i> SB6	NAIMCC- C-C- 00240	Hot spring	Atri, Odisha, India	20.15 N, 85.30 E

NA= Not Assigned

Cyanobacterial isolates were screened for Indole Acetic Acid production with or without tryptophan (Fig. 1). Among the all isolates *Chroococciopsis cubana* HC1 was found to produce highest IAA production (102.9µg/mL) when supplemented with 300 µg/mL of tryptophan and lowest was recorded in *Pseudoanabeana sp.* RD1 (18µg/mL).




Fig. 1. Screening of IAA producing cyanobacteria from Stressed environment

On the basis of IAA screening and nitrogen fixation potential two strains were selected for pot trial on rice (*Oryza sativa*) plant. *Chroococcidiopsis cubana* HC1 and *Tolypothrix* sp. RC2 were evaluated for plant growth potential. Germination of rice seeds were recorded 100% in all the treatments. Coinoculation of *Chroococcidiopsis cubana* HC1 and *Tolypothrix* sp. RC2 recorded highest root (20.7 ± 1.0 cm) and shoot length (11.4 ± 1.3 cm) control and individual treatments. Number of forks also recorded high in numbers (36.3 ± 3.2) as compared to control in which root and shoot length was recorded as (10.6 ± 1.1 cm) and (6.6 ± 1.3) respectively. Individual treatments were less effective than in combination of treatments, effect of different treatments on plant parameters have been shown in (Table 2). In present study unicellular nonheterocystous cyanobacterial isolate *Chroococcidiopsis cubana* HC1 recorded highest IAA production ($109 \mu\text{g/ml}$) while lowest IAA was recorded in the strain *Pseudoanabaena* sp. RD1. A non-heterocyst *Chroococcidiopsis* sp. MMG-5 has been studied and showed significant amount of IAA ($25 \mu\text{g/ml}$) production and when co-treated with wheat, mung beans and pea crop showed significant increase in shoot and root length [29]. Although the strain found in this study was much higher IAA producer as compared to the strain MGG-5 reported by [30].

Table 2. Pot trial data of selected cyanobacterial isolates on rice cultivar after 15 days of growth

Treatments	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Projected area (cm ²)	Surface Area (cm ²)	Average Diameter (cm)	Links	Forks	% Germination
T0	10.6 ± 1.1	6.6 ± 1.3	0.6 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.0	62.7 ± 5.0	28.7 ± 9.3	100

T1	18.2±1.0	10.7±0.6	0.8±0.1	2.4±0.2	0.5±0.1	69.7±1.5	30.7±6.7	100
T2	16.3±0.4	10.0±1.0	0.8±0.1	2.6±0.7	0.5±0.1	71.0±5.0	33.0±1.0	100
T3	20.7±1.0	11.4±1.3	0.9±0.0	2.8±0.1	0.5±0.1	79.0±5.1	36.3±3.2	100

Values are mean of three replicates, T0= Uninoculated; T1 = *Chroococciopsis cubana*; T2 = *Tolypothrix* sp., T3 = *Chroococciopsis cubana* + *Tolypothrix* sp.

Arthrospira platensis MMG-9 with 194.3 (µg/ml) IAA production with enhanced root and shoot parameters [30]. *Anabaena vaginicola* reported to produce IBA and IAA, 2146.9 ng/g and 9.93 ng/g fresh weights respectively. Effect of these strains have been evaluated and found beneficial on several vegetable and herbaceous crops [31]. [15] investigated effect of *Anabaena* spp. (RPN59/8) amended with compost and found enhanced growth parameters as well as quality of tomato fruit. Co- inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria along with cyanobacteria have also been reported to increase in plant growth and grain yield significantly [32]. [33] investigated potential of cyanobacteria on wheat along with different dose of chemical fertilizers, interestingly; all the treatments showed enhanced plant growth and yield parameters. A study with *Anabaena* and *Trichoderma viride* biofilm showed 12-25% increase in yield of soybean as well as enhanced microbial activity. [34] studied the germination behaviour of wheat seeds with cyanobacterial filtrate and found higher germination, vigor index and number of seedlings were higher as compared to untreated. Above mentioned all the studies indicate that cyanobacteria could be a potential component in integrated nutrient management. Experimental study on rice showed significant enhancement in root and shoot length as well as increased number of forks and links in T3 treatment. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria's are smart and sustainable strategy for biocontrol of soilborne pathogens of many important crops for sustainable agriculture [35]. Antifungal activity of all the cyanobacteria were tested against plant pathogens *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. Total eight cyanobacteria showed antifungal activity against these plant pathogens (Figure 2 and Table 3)). *Tolypothrix* sp. and *Leptolyngbya* sp. showed highest percent inhibition (50% each) against *Rhizoctonia solani* while *Nostoc* sp. SK1 showed highest inhibition against *Sclerotium rolfsii* (53%) while *Aphanothece* sp. showed highest inhibition against *Fusarium oxysporum* (61%). No antifungal activity detected in the strains *Leptolyngbya* sp., SB2 and *Hapalosiphon* sp., BG1. Cyanobacterial strains RC1 and RC2 exhibited inhibition to all three phytopathogens. In the present study cyanobacteria from stressed environment such as *Nostoc* sp. HC2 (Valley of flower a cold region), *Leptolyngbya* sp. RC2, *Tolypothrix* sp. RC1, *Pseudoanabaena* sp. RD1, *Leptolyngbya* RH1 (Arsenic contaminated field), *Nostoc* sp. SK1 (cold region of Leh) showed significant

antifungal activity against phytopathogens (*Sclerotium rolfsii*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum*). [36] studied the effect of cultural filtrate of *Calothrix elenkinii* on fungicidal and algicidal activity which showed promising result. The strain was also evaluated for plant growth promotion and dual advantage of plant growth promotion and biocontrol potential make the strain more suitable candidate for agricultural use.




Figure 2. Antifungal activity of selected cyanobacteria against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Fusarium oxysporum*. SK1-*Nostoc* sp., RC2-*Leptolyngbya* sp., RC1-*Leptolyngbya* sp., RD1-*Pseudoanabaena* sp., SK2-*Oscillatoria* sp., *Chroococcus* sp. SB3 *Aphanothece* sp., HC2 *Nostoc* sp. M-Methanol (Negative control), N-Nystatin (Positive control)

Table 3. Inhibitory activity of selected cyanobacteria against plant pathogens

Strain code	Treatment/Strain name	% Inhibition		
		<i>R. solani</i>	<i>S. rolfsii</i>	<i>F. oxysporum</i>
Nystatin (NS)	Positive control	5	10	ND
Methanol (M)	Negative control	ND	ND	ND
RC1	<i>Tolypothrix</i> sp.	50	46	57
RC2	<i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp.	38	43	51
RD1	<i>Pseudoanabaena</i> sp.	46	52	ND
RH1	<i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp.	43	ND	ND
BG1	<i>Hapalosiphon</i> sp.	ND	ND	ND
SB2	<i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp.	ND	ND	ND
SK2	<i>Oscillatoria</i> sp.	41	43	ND
SK1	<i>Nostoc</i> sp.	50	53	ND
SB3	<i>Aphanothece</i> sp.	ND	ND	61
HC2	<i>Nostoc</i> sp.	ND	ND	40

ND=Not detected

[37]has reported insecticidal as well as nematicidal activity of *Nostoc* ATCC53789 on *Helicoverpaarmigera* and *Caenorhabditis elegans*. [38] showed biocidal activity against phytopathogenic fungi with *Anabaena* strain.The world is looking for organic farming, certainly cyanobacteria are one of the important catalystthat could play a vital role. Extracellular metabolites of *N. muscorum* and *Oscillatoria* sp. significantlyreducedthelineargrowthof *Alternaria porri*[39]. [40]found that a water extract of *Anabaenasp.*inducedsystemicdefenceresponseandreducedsymptoms (25%) caused by *Podospaeraxanthi*in zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo*) leaves.The selected strains showed both antifungal as well as plant growth promoting potential which could be suitable candidates for sustainable agriculture due to the dual advantages they possess.

4. Conclusions

Cyanobacteria from stressed environment showed promising plant growth promoting activity and were able to suppress growth of plant pathogens.*Leptolyngbyasp.* RC2 and *Tolypothrixsp.* RC1 showed inhibitory potential in all tested phytopathogens. *Chroococcidiopsis cubana* HC1 exhibited higher indole acetic acid production along with antifungal activity. The world is looking for organic farming;certainly cyanobacteria are one of the important catalyst that could play a vital role. Cyanobacteria studied in the present study found suitable for sustainable agriculture due to the dual advantages they possess.

References

1. Prasanna R, Kaushik BD. Cyanobacteria in soil health and sustainable agriculture. *Healion Environm.* 2006; 3:91–105.
2. Singh JS, Kumar A, Rai AN, Singh DP. Cyanobacteria: A Precious Bio-resource in Agriculture, Ecosystem, and Environmental Sustainability. *FronMicrobiol.* 2016; <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00529>
3. Bagul S, Tripathi S, Chakdar H, Karthikeyan N, Pandiyan K, Singh A, Kumar M. Exploration and Characterization of Cyanobacteria from Different Ecological Niches of India for Phycobilins Production. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci.* 2018;7(12):2822-2834. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.712.321>
4. PerveenK, Alwathanai HA, Antifungal activity of methanol, acetone and diethyl ether extracts of cyanobacteria against plant pathogenic fungi. *Asian J Chem.* 2013 <http://dx.doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2013.15062>.
5. Manickavelu A, Nadarajan N, Ganesh SK, Ramalingam R, Raguraman S, Gnanamalar RP. Organogenesis induction in rice callus by cyanobacterial extracellular product. *African J. Biotechnol.* 2006 doi:10.5897/AJB05.363.
6. Ahmad N, Fatma T, Production of Indole-3-Acetic Acid Cyanobacterial Strains. *The Nat Products J.* 2017;7:112-120. 10.2174/2210315507666161206160545.
7. Mishra SK, Singh J, Pandey AR, Dwivedi N, Indole-3-acetic acid production by the cyanobacterium *Fisherella muscicola* NDUPC001. *Curr Sci.* 2019;116.
8. Shariatmadari Z, Riahi H, Seyed Hashtroudi M, Ghassempour AR, Aghashariatmadary Z. Plant growth promoting cyanobacteria and their distribution in terrestrial habitats of Iran. *Soil Sci Plant Nutri.* 2013. doi:10.1080/00380768.2013.782253.
9. Zhou Y, Bao J, Zhang D, Li Y, Li H, He H, Effect of heterocystous nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria against rice sheath blight and the underlying mechanism. *Appl Soil ecol.* 2020;153:103580 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103580>
10. Prasanna R, Jaiswal P, Nayak S, Sood A, Kaushik BD. Cyanobacterial diversity in the rhizosphere of rice and its ecological significance. *Ind J Microbiol.* 2009;49:89–97.
11. Prasanna R, Nain L, Ancha R, Shrikrishna J, Joshi M, Kaushik BD. Rhizosphere dynamics of inoculated cyanobacteria and their growth-promoting role in rice crop. *Egyptian J Biol.* 2009;11:26–36.
12. Prasanna R, Gupta V, Natarajan C, Chaudhary V. Allele mining for chitosanases and microcystinlike compounds in *Anabaena* strains. *W J MicrobiolBiotechnol.* 2010;26:717–724.
13. Mikhail MS, Basita AH, Nevein ASM, Morsy KMM, Youssef MM, Using of Cyanobacteria in controlling potato brown rot disease. *Int J Sci Eng Res.* 2016;7(8) 274-281.
14. Kloepper JW, Schippers B, Bakker PA. Proposed elimination of the term endorhizosphere. *Phytopathol.* 1992;82:726–727
15. Prasanna P, Chaudhary V, Gupta V, Babu S, Kumar A, Singh R, et al. Cyanobacteria mediated plant growth promotion and bioprotection against *Fusarium* wilt in tomato. *Eur J Plant Pathol.* 2013;136:337–353.
16. Prasanna R, Chaudhary V, Gupta V, Babu S, Kumar A, Singh R, Shivay YS, Nain L, Cyanobacteria mediated plant growth promotion and bioprotection against *Fusarium* wilt in tomato. *E J Plant Pathol.* 2013;136(2)337-353.
17. Ozdemir G, Karabay N, Dolay M, Pazarbasi B, Antibacterial activity of volatile extracts of *Spirulina plantensis*. *Phytother Res.* 2004;18:754–757.
18. Khairy HM, El-Kassas HY, Active substance from some blue green algal species used as antimicrobial agents. *African J Biotechnol.* 2010;9(19):2789-2800.
19. De-Souza MM, Prietto L, Ribeiro AC, de-Souza TD and Furlong EB. Assessment of

Comment [U2]: I hope the font is not the same as the other references

- the antifungal activity of *Spirulina platensis* phenolic extract against *Aspergillus flavus*. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia* 2011;35(6):1050-1058. DOI: [10.1590/S1413-70542011000600003](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542011000600003)
19. Boopathi T, Balamurugan V, Gopinath S, Sundararaman M. Characterization of IAA Production by the Mangrove Cyanobacterium *Phormidium* sp. MI405019 and Its Influence on Tobacco Seed Germination and Organogenesis. *J Plant Growth Regul* 2013; doi:10.1007/s00344-013-9342-8.
 20. Hussain A, Shah ST, Rahman H, Irshad M, Iqbal A, Effect of IAA on in vitro growth and colonization of *Nostoc* on plant roots. *Fron Plant Sci*. 2015;6:45. 10.3389/fpls.2015.00046.
 21. Hussain A, Hasnain S. Comparative assessment of the efficacy of bacterial and cyanobacterial phytohormones in plant tissue culture. *W J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2012. doi:10.1007/s11274-011-0947-4.
 22. Sergeeva E, Liaimer A, Bergman B, Evidence for production of the phytohormone indole -3- acetic acid by cyanobacteria. *Planta*. 2002;215:229-238.
 23. Babu S, Balasubramani AK, Sivakumar N, Sudhakarsamy P, Perumal V, Indole-3-acetic acid from filamentous cyanobacteria: Screening, strain identification and production. *J Sci Ind Res*. 2013;72:581-584.
 24. Kumar A, Kaur R. Impact of Cyanobacterial Filtrate on Seed Germination Behaviour of Wheat. *International J Basic Appl Biol*. 2014;1(1):11-15.
 25. Onofrejoval L, Vasickova J, Klejdus B, Misurcova L, Kracmar S, Kopecky J, Vacek J, Bioactive phenols in algae: the application of pressurized liquid and solidphase extraction techniques. *J Pharmaceut Biomed*. 2010;51:464–470.
 26. Stanier RY, Kunisawa R, Mandel M, Cohen-Bazire G, Purification and properties of unicellular bluegreen algae (order Chroococcales). *Bacteriological reviews*. 1971;35(2).
 27. Gordon SA, Weber RP, Colorimetric estimation of indoleacetic acid. *Plant Physiol*. 1951;26(1): 192–195.
 28. Tanimoto E, Regulation of root growth by plant hormones: roles for auxin and gibberellin. *Crit Rev Plant Sci*. 2005;24:249–265.
 29. Ahmed M, Stal LJ, Hasnain S. Association of non-heterocystous cyanobacteria with crop plants. *Plant Soil*. 2010; doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0488-x.
 30. Ahmed M, Stal LJ, Hasnain S. Production of indole-3-acetic acid by the cyanobacterium *Arthrospira platensis* strain MMG-9. *J Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2010; 20(9):1259-1265. <https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1004.04033>
 31. Hashtroud MS, Ghassempour A, Riahi H, Shariatmadari Z, Khanjir M. Endogenous auxins in plant growth-promoting Cyanobacteria-*Anabaena vaginicola* and *Nostoc calcicola*. *J Appl Phycol*. 2013 doi:10.1007/s10811-012-9872-7.
 32. Nain L, Rana A, Joshi M, Jadhav SD, Kumar D, Shivay YS et al. Evaluation of synergistic effects of bacterial and cyanobacterial strains as biofertilizers for wheat. *Plant Soil*. 2010 doi:10.1007/s11104-009-0247-z.
 33. Karthikeyan N, Prasanna R, Nain L, Kaushik BD. Evaluating the potential of plant growth promoting cyanobacteria as inoculants for wheat. *Eur J Soil Biolol*. 2007. doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2006.11.001
 34. Kumar A and Kaur R (2014). Impact of Cyanobacterial Filtrate on Seed Germination Behaviour of Wheat. *Int J Basic Appl Biol*. 2014;1:11-15.
 35. Prasad M, Srinivasan R, Chaudhary M, Choudhary M, Jat LK, Chapter seven plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) for sustainable agriculture: perspectives and challenges. In: Singh, A.K., Kumar, A., Singh, P.K. (Eds.), *PGPR Amelioration in Sustainable Agriculture*. Woodhead Publishing Inc, 2019; pp. 129–157.

Comment [U3]: is there a newer reference

36. Radhakrishnan B, Prasanna R, Jaiswal P, Nayak S, Dureja P, Modulation of biocidal activity of *Calothrix* sp. And *Anabaena* sp. by environmental factors. *Biologia*. 2009; 64:881–889.
37. Biondi N, Piccardi R, Margheri MC, Rodolfi L, Smith GD, Tredici MR. Evaluation of Nostoc strain ATCC 53789 as a potential source of natural pesticides. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2004;70(6):3313–3320.
38. Prasanna R, Nain L, Tripathi R, Gupta V, Chaudhary V, Middha S et al. Evaluation of fungicidal activity of extracellular filtrates of cyanobacteria - Possible role of hydrolytic enzymes. *JBasic Microbiol*.2008. doi:10.1002/jobm.200700199
39. Abdel-Hafez, SII, Abo-Elyousr KAM, Abdel-Rahim IR, Fungicidal activity of extracellular products of cyanobacteria against *Alternaria porri*. *Eur J Phycol*. 2015; 50:239–245.
40. Roberti R, Galletti S, Burzi PL, Righini H, Cetrullo S, Perez C, Induction of defence responses in zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo*) by *Anabaena* sp. water extract. *Biol Control*. 2015;82:61–68.