

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_89136
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of anthropization on the diversity of woody vegetation in Muskuwaari transplanted sorghum field in the Sudano-Sahelian zone of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In this manuscript, the authors studied the influence of anthropization on the diversity of woody vegetation, its management and conservation of the transplanted sorghum landscape in the Sudano-Sahelian zone of Cameroon. Overall, the data demonstrated that anthropization deeply modifies the landscape of transplanted sorghum resulting in the disappearance of biodiversity, and that co-management would be an effective method for the preservation of the sorghum field. The studies are very designed, executed, and presented. There are a few minor comments that need to be addressed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The legends of the tables are given before the start of the respective tables; however, for figure 9, it is kept after the table. Please fix this.</li> <li>2. The references in the manuscript text are mentioned as FAO <i>et al.</i>, 2015; Seignobos; 1998; Mathieu <i>et al.</i>, 2003, etc. However, in the "References" section, it is given in numbers (e.g., 1, 2, 3, etc.). This arrangement makes it difficult to compare the cited studies in the manuscript text with the reference list. Please fix this.</li> <li>3. The contribution of each author should be given at the end of the conclusion.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ravi P. Sahu
Department, University & Country	Wright State University, USA