

Original Research Article

Influence of varied plant densities and nitrogen doses on growth and yield of *Bt* cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) under high density planting system

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at College farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during *kharif*, 2021 to study the growth and yield of *Bt* cotton as influenced by varied plant densities and nitrogen doses under high density planting system. The results revealed that among plant densities, plant height (102.8 cm) and drymatter production (7396 kg ha⁻¹) were higher with spacing of 90 x 15 cm (74,074 plants ha⁻¹). Whereas, sympodial branches plant⁻¹ (21.0) and leaf area plant⁻¹ (4143 cm² plant⁻¹) were recorded higher with spacing of 90 x 60 cm (18,518 plants ha⁻¹). Even though at spacing 90 x 60 cm (18,518 plants ha⁻¹) showed more number of picked bolls plant⁻¹ (18.0), boll weight (5.10 g) but 90 x 15 cm spacing (74,074 plants ha⁻¹) showed significantly higher seed cotton yield (2176 kg ha⁻¹) which was at par with 90 x 20 cm (55,555 plants ha⁻¹) (2052 kg ha⁻¹). In case of nitrogen doses, application of 180 kg N ha⁻¹ recorded higher growth parameters like plant height (103.2 cm), sympodial branches plant⁻¹ (20.8), drymatter production (6952 kg ha⁻¹) and leaf area plant⁻¹ (3826 cm² plant⁻¹). Where, application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ recorded higher seed cotton yield (2072 kg ha⁻¹) with more number of picked bolls plant⁻¹ (12.9) and higher boll weight (4.87 g) over other nitrogen doses tested.

Key words: Telangana; high density planting system; cotton; nitrogen

1. INTRODUCTION

Cotton is an important fibre crop, which occupies a place of special significance in Indian farming and national economy. In India during 2021-22 cotton is cultivated in an area of 120.69 lakh hectare with a production of 340.62 lakh bales and productivity of 469 kg ha⁻¹. India has the largest area under cotton followed by China and contributing about 37% and 22% of world cotton area and production. In spite of that, its productivity is still far lower against the world's average yield of about 787 kg ha⁻¹ CCI [6]. While, Telangana state covering 20.51 lakh hectares and 65.87 lakh bales of production during 2021-22 Indiastat [9]. It is a fact that cotton is the back bone of textile industry and is the most important commercial crop grown under rainfed conditions of Telangana region. Though, India ranks first in the world cotton production by 2021-22, its productivity levels are very low despite the availability of *Bt* technology. Majority research findings revealed that heavy soils are suitable for cotton cultivation while, most of the farmers in Telangana state cotton cultivated cotton in light soils as

35 a rainfed crop, hence the yields were very low. Still, various factors are responsible for low yield of
36 cotton, the possible way for redefining the cotton productivity is through manipulation of row spacing
37 to increase the plant density and their spatial arrangement with an appropriate plant geometry, which
38 is termed as high density planting system (HDPS) in cotton. It is generally referred as planting at
39 closer spacing than the recommended spacing with sole objective of maximizing the yield per unit
40 area and it varies from genotype to genotype. The HDPS is now being conceived as an alternative
41 production system that can improve productivity, profitability, input use efficiency and reducing input
42 costs as well as minimising the risks associated with the current cotton production system in India and
43 enable mechanical picking Venugopalan et al. [18].

44 Nitrogen is one of the most prime element for crop production and cotton plants require larger
45 amounts of fertilizer N than any other element because of its high concentration in the plant and low
46 availability in the soil. Optimum nitrogen fertilization is important for cotton to be grown in high density
47 planting and to be adjusted to avoid excessive plant growth and delayed maturity and to optimize fibre
48 quality. Establishing an appropriate plant stand is paramount to obtain higher yields as lower plant
49 density will be wastage of resources while high plant density limits individual plant growth Brodrick et
50 al. [5]. Where, the yield potential of the crop can be achieved to maximum only when the nutrient
51 requirements are fully met.

52 So, by keeping in context of various views the present experiment was undertaken to study
53 the growth and yield of high density planting and optimum nitrogen dose for a profitable approach to
54 realize the maximum yield potential under rainfed conditions.

55 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

56 The experiment was carried out at College farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar,
57 Hyderabad during *kharif* season of 2021. The soil of experiment site is red sandy loam with low in
58 available N (197 kg ha^{-1}), medium in available P (21.8 kg ha^{-1}) and organic carbon content (0.52%),
59 high in available K (361 kg ha^{-1}) and pH (7.5). The total rainfall received during the cropping season
60 was 504.6 mm. The experiment was laid out in randomised block design (with factorial concept) and
61 replicated thrice. The experiment consists of 16 treatment combinations comprising four plant
62 densities (D_1 - $90 \times 15 \text{ cm}$ ($74,074 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}$), D_2 - $90 \times 20 \text{ cm}$ ($55,555 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}$), D_3 - $90 \times 30 \text{ cm}$
63 ($37,037 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}$), D_4 - $90 \times 60 \text{ cm}$ ($18,518 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}$) in factor I and four levels of nitrogen doses
64 (N_1 - 90 kg ha^{-1} , N_2 - 120 kg ha^{-1} , N_3 - 150 kg ha^{-1} , N_4 - 180 kg ha^{-1}) in factor II. Genotype, NCS-2778
65 BG-II was sown which is highly suitable to high density planting due to its compact nature. Nitrogen
66 was applied in the form of urea as per treatments in four equal splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS along with
67 recommended dose of potassium and entire quantity of phosphorus was applied basally. All
68 recommended agronomic practices and timely need based plant protection measures were taken to
69 establish healthy maintenance of crop. The growth and yield observations were recorded as per
70 standard procedure. The data was statistically analyzed by adopting standard analysis of variance by
71 Gomez and Gomez [9].

72 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

73 3.1 Growth parameters

74 3.1.1 Plant density

75 Results showed that growth parameters like plant height, drymatter production, leaf area
76 plant^{-1} and sympodial branches plant^{-1} was significantly influenced by varied plant densities. Higher
77 plant height (102.8 cm) was recorded with 90 x 15 cm of spacing (74,074 plants ha^{-1}) and was at par
78 with spacing of 90 x 20 cm (55,555 plants ha^{-1}) (101.1) and 90 x 30 cm (37,037 plants ha^{-1}) (98.2 cm).
79 While, lowest was recorded with spacing of 90 x 60 cm (18,518 plants ha^{-1}) (94.2 cm) and was on par
80 with spacing of 90 x 30 cm. Which was mainly due to overcrowding and competition for nutrients,
81 water and solar radiation which increases internodal length of individual plant. These results are in
82 agreement with Ali et al. [2].

83 Where, significantly higher drymatter production (7396 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded with spacing of
84 90 x 15 cm (74,074 plants ha^{-1}) compared to other spacings tested but was at par with spacing of 90 x
85 20 cm (55,555 plants ha^{-1}) (6991 kg ha^{-1}). While, significantly lower drymatter production was noticed
86 with spacing of 90 x 60 cm (18,518 plants ha^{-1}) this might be due to higher plant population
87 accommodated per unit area.

88 In differ with this, significantly higher leaf area plant^{-1} (4143 $\text{cm}^2 \text{plant}^{-1}$) at 100 DAS was
89 recorded with wider spacing of 90 x 60 cm over rest of the treatments. Where, significantly lower leaf
90 area plant^{-1} was observed with closer spacing of 90 x 15 cm (3198 $\text{cm}^2 \text{plant}^{-1}$) but was found to be at
91 par with 90 x 20 cm spacing (3343 $\text{cm}^2 \text{plant}^{-1}$). Whereas, higher number of sympodial branches
92 plant^{-1} (21.0) was also observed with spacing of 90 x 60 cm (18,518 plants ha^{-1}) and was at par with
93 spacing of 90 x 30 cm (37,037 plants ha^{-1}) (19.5) and in turn this was found on par with other two
94 spacings. This is due to the fact that wider spacing provides larger ground area, maximum moisture,
95 more nutrients and more light interception resulting in more photosynthetic activity and production of
96 more biomass through the process of plant metabolism and produced greater leaf area plant^{-1} and
97 higher number of sympodial plant^{-1} when compared to closer spacing as reported by Ram and Giri
98 [14]. These results are in close conformity with results of Alur et al. [3].

99 3.1.2 Nitrogen doses

100 Regarding to nitrogen doses, application of higher dose of nitrogen *i.e.*, 180 kg N ha^{-1}
101 recorded higher values of all growth contributing characters like plant height (103.2 cm), sympodial
102 branches plant^{-1} (20.8), dry matter production (6952 kg ha^{-1}) and leaf area (3826 $\text{cm}^2 \text{plant}^{-1}$) as
103 compared to lower dose of nitrogen *i.e.*, 150 kg N ha^{-1} and 120 kg N ha^{-1} and 90 kg N ha^{-1} during the
104 study. However, application of 150 kg N ha^{-1} , 120 kg N ha^{-1} were found to be equally effective in
105 enhancing plant height, sympodial branches plant^{-1} , dry matter production and leaf area plant^{-1} . This is
106 due to increased availability of nutrients and uptake of nutrients from the soil, this resulted in better
107 assimilation and growth of the plant in aspects of both shoot and root, which helped in utilization of
108 more sunlight, water and nutrients and thus resulted in increases in growth characters and in turn
109 increasing drymatter production and leaf area. The present results were in accordance with Zarina et
110 al. [19] and Udikeri and Shashidhara [17].

111 **3.2 Yield attributing characters**

112 **3.2.1 Plant density**

113 Significantly higher number of picked bolls plant⁻¹ (18.0) were recorded with spacing of 90 x
114 60 cm. Whereas, significantly lower number of picked bolls plant⁻¹ (7.5) were recorded with spacing of
115 90 x 15 cm and this was found to be at par with spacing of 90 x 20 cm (8.9). Ahmed et al. [1] reported
116 that number of bolls plant⁻¹ increased with increase in plant spacing.

117 Whereas, significantly higher boll weight (5.10 g) was recorded with spacing 90 x 60 cm
118 whereas, lower boll weight was recorded with spacing 90 x 15 cm (4.41 g) and was at par with
119 spacing 90 x 20 cm (4.56 g). The results found in this study are in line with results of Paslawar et al.
120 [15] and Sanket et al. [17]. When cotton plants grown under wider spacing or lower plant population,
121 bolls tend to be larger and produce more number of bolls plant⁻¹ is due to availability of more nutrients
122 and ample space to grow.

123 **3.2.2 Nitrogen doses**

124 Regarding nitrogen, higher number of picked bolls plant⁻¹ (12.9) and boll weight (4.87 g) were
125 recorded with application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ which is on par with application of 180 kg N ha⁻¹ (11.9, 4.83
126 g; number of picked bolls plant⁻¹ and boll weight (g), respectively) and 120 kg N ha⁻¹ (11.4, 4.74 g;
127 number of picked bolls plant⁻¹ and boll weight (g), respectively). Increase in the availability of nitrogen
128 resulted in increased drymatter production of plants, which attributed to higher number of sympodial
129 branches plant⁻¹ and there by induced more number of bolls⁻¹ plant. Whereas, drymatter production
130 acted as a source to supply nutrients to reproductive parts (squares and bolls). So, heavier boll weight
131 could be due to a better source-sink relationship established at adequate nitrogen dose. These results
132 are in line with Dadgale et al. [7].

133 **3.3 Seed cotton yield (kg ha⁻¹)**

134 **3.3.1 Plant density**

135 Significantly higher seed cotton yield (2176 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained with spacing of 90 x 15 cm
136 (74,074 plants ha⁻¹) over 90 x 30 cm (37,037 plants ha⁻¹) (1857 kg ha⁻¹) and 90 x 60 cm spacing
137 (18,518 plants ha⁻¹) (1623 kg ha⁻¹) but was found to be at par with 90 x 20 cm spacing (55,555 plants
138 ha⁻¹) (2052 kg ha⁻¹). Higher plant densities (74,074 plants ha⁻¹, 55,555 plants ha⁻¹ and 37,037 plants
139 ha⁻¹) recorded 34.07, 26.41 and 14.43% yield increase over lower plant density (18,518 plants ha⁻¹),
140 respectively. Yielding ability of a crop is the reflections of yield attributing characters. Even though the
141 decrease in yield attributing character *i.e.*, sympodial branches, number of boll plants⁻¹, boll weight in
142 closer spacing which was compensated by increase in plant population per unit area. Similar results
143 were documented by Bharathi et al. [4] and Pandagale et al. [13].

144 **3.2.2 Nitrogen doses**

145 Regarding nitrogen, significantly higher seed cotton yield (2072 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with
146 application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ compared to 90 kg N ha⁻¹ (1706 kg ha⁻¹) and was on par with application

147 of 180 kg N ha⁻¹ (1996 kg ha⁻¹) and 120 kg N ha⁻¹ (1935 kg ha⁻¹). There was linear increase in seed
148 cotton yield from 90 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ and on further increase *i.e.*, 180 kg N ha⁻¹ did not show any
149 positive response on seed cotton yield. This might be due to over use of fertilizer causes excessive
150 vegetative growth, delayed maturity, produces more immature bolls, increased boll rot and invited
151 more sucking pests which further leads to reduction in yields as reported by Kanchana et al. [11] and
152 this was in agreement with Jagtap and Bhale [10] who reported on application of 80 kg N ha⁻¹
153 significantly gave more seed cotton yield (2834 kg ha⁻¹) over 60 kg N ha⁻¹ (2410 kg ha⁻¹) and was at
154 par with 100 kg N ha⁻¹ (2617 kg ha⁻¹). While increased seed cotton yield with increased N levels was
155 observed by Zarina et al. [19] and Munir et al. [12].

156 Interaction effect of varied plant densities and nitrogen doses was not found significant on
157 growth, yield and yield contributing characters.

158 **4. CONCLUSION**

159 The present field experiment inferred that this compact genotype, NCS-2778 gave highest
160 growth parameters, yield attributes and seed cotton yield with closer spacing of 90 x 15 cm (74,074
161 plant ha⁻¹) and application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ can be cultivated for realizing higher seed cotton yield
162 under rainfed conditions in red soils of Telangana region.

Table 1: Growth parameters, yield attributes and yield as influenced by varied plant densities and nitrogen doses under high density planting system

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of sympodial branches plant ⁻¹	Drymatter production (kg ha ⁻¹)	Leaf area plant ⁻¹ (cm ² plant ⁻¹) at 100 DAS	Total no. of picked bolls plant ⁻¹	Single boll weight (g)	Seed cotton yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
Planting densities (D)							
D₁ - 90 x 15 cm (74,074 plants ha ⁻¹)	102.8	18.0	7396	3198	7.5	4.41	2176
D₂ - 90 x 20 cm (55,555 plants ha ⁻¹)	101.1	18.6	6991	3343	8.9	4.56	2052
D₃ - 90 x 30 cm (37,037 plants ha ⁻¹)	98.2	19.5	6292	3631	11.1	4.82	1857
D₄ - 90 x 60 cm (18,518 plants ha ⁻¹)	94.2	21.0	5381	4143	18.0	5.10	1623
SEm +	1.8	0.6	190	102	0.5	0.09	65
CD (P=0.05)	5.1	1.8	549	293	1.5	0.25	189
Nitrogen doses (N)							
N₁ - 90 kg ha ⁻¹	93.8	17.0	5773	3185	9.3	4.45	1706
N₂ - 120 kg ha ⁻¹	98.4	19.0	6458	3535	11.4	4.74	1935
N₃ - 150 kg ha ⁻¹	101.6	20.3	6876	3779	12.9	4.87	2072
N₄ - 180 kg ha ⁻¹	103.2	20.8	6952	3826	11.9	4.83	1996
SEm +	1.8	0.6	190	102	0.5	0.09	65
CD (P=0.05)	5.1	1.8	549	293	1.5	0.25	189
Interaction (DxN)							
SEm +	3.5	1.3	380	203	1.04	0.17	131
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

5. LITERATURE CITED

1. Ahmed S, Sarwar Khan AM, Mahmood K, Siddiqui GM, Munir S. Effect of inter plant distance on seed cotton yield and its components in *G. hirsutum* L. *Journal of Recent Advances in Agriculture*. 2014; 2(7): 285-289.
2. Ali H, Afzal MN, and Muhammad D. Effect of sowing dates and plant spacing on growth and dry matter partitioning in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 2009;41 (5): 2145-2155.
3. Alur A, Halepyati AS, Chittapur BM, Nidagundi JM, Koppalkar BG. Effect of high density planting and nutrient management on growth and yield of compact cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Genotypes. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(4): 294-297.
4. Bharathi S, Sree Lakshmi B, Ratna Kumari S. Evaluation of compact cultures under high density planting system with different nutrient levels in rainfed vertisols. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018;6(5): 3371-3373.
5. Brodrick R, Bange MP, Milroy SP, Hammer GL. Physiological determinants of high yielding ultra-narrow row cotton: Canopy development and radiation use efficiency. *Field Crops Research*. 2013;148: 86-94
6. CCI, 2021. Cotton Corporation of India. <https://cotcorp.org.in/>
7. Dadgale PR, Chavan DA, Gudade BA, Jadhav SG, Deshmukh VA and Pal S. Productivity and quality of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) as influenced by planting geometry and nitrogen levels under irrigated and rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2014;84(9): 1069-72.
8. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical procedures for agricultural for agricultural research* (2 ed.). John Wiley And Sons, New York. 1984;680.
9. Indiastat. Area, production and productivity of cotton. 2021. <https://www.indiastat.com>
10. Jagtap DN, Bhale VM. Effect of different plant spacing and nitrogen levels of desi cotton hybrid. *International Journal Cotton Improvement*. 2010;1: 77-79.
11. Kanchana T, Sakthivel N, Thava prakaash N, Balamurugan J. Performance of compact cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) genotypes to varied nutrient levels under high density planting system in winter irrigated condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;8(3): 3084-3088.
12. Munir MK, Tahir M, Saleem MF, Yaseen M. Growth, yield and earliness response of cotton to row spacing and nitrogen management. *Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*. 2015;25 (3).
13. Pandagale AD, Baig KS, Rathod SS, Namade TB. Plant density and genotype evaluation for high density planting system of cotton under rainfed condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2020;9(9): 1291-1298
14. Paslawar AN, Deotalu AS, Nemade PW. High-density planting of cotton variety AKH-081 under rainfed condition of Vidharbha. *Plant archives*. 2015;15 (2): 1075-1077.

15. Ram M, Giri AN. Response of newly released cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) varieties to plant densities and fertilizer levels. *Journal of Cotton Research and Development*, 2006;20(1), 85-86
16. Sankat KB, Patel JP, Patel DD, Vadodariya KV, Pawar SI. Feasibility of high density planting system and its fertilizer requirement in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *An International e. Journal*. 2017; 6(4): 666-674
17. Udikeri M, Shashidhara GB. 2017. Performance of compact cotton genotypes under high density planting system at different fertilizer levels. *Journal of Farm Sciences*, 30 (4): 460-466.
18. Venugopalan MV, Kranthi KR, Blaise D, Lakde S, Narayana KS. High density Planting System in Cotton-The Brazil Experience and Indian Initiatives. *Cotton Research Journal*. 2014;5 (2): 172-185.
19. Zarina B, Naqib UK, Maria M, Mohammad JK, Rafiq A, Imdad UK et al. Response of *Gossypium hirsutum* genotypes to various nitrogen levels. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 2011; 43(5): 2403-2409.