

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_90293
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF FOLIAR APPLICATION OF GA3, NAA AND UREA ON FRUIT PHYSIOLOGICAL CHARACTERSTICS OF BER (<i>Zizyphus mauritiana</i> Lamk.) cv. BANARASI KARAKA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The research is good but it need major correction as the following :</p> <p>1- In introduction,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> many new references should be add The benefits of fruits and medical uses should be add The role of every plants growth regulators should be included in many crops <p>2- In Material and methods,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Separate it to many sup-heading Experimental design should describe in details Data analysis should done by using software Parameters of study should describe <p>3- In Results and discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Delete the repetition with the introduction and material and methods Re discuss your data according to your finding All the 3 tables should be in one table to easy read the data Fruit quality(total soluble solids content, ascorbic acid, and total sugar and minimized fruit drop, stone weight, and acidity content)that you mention in conclusion should be added <p>4- In general</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The author should be follow all the notes that mention above and that described inside the manuscript. Hope I see the manuscript after revision and before published 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Isam Abdulbaset Haidar Al-Madhagi
Department, University & Country	Sana'a University, Yemen