

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89286
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensory Garden for Occupational Therapy and Improving Quality of Life.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No compulsory revision comments. The content of the sensory garden is helpful for improving the mental state of the population, while it is beneficial for children with dementia and disabilities. Th is article reviews the elements of the sensory garden and the current situation of the sensory garden in India. It is a good article.	
Minor REVISION comments	(1) From the medical perspective, safety consciousness is important, which was emphasized in the 'Touch' section, but not mentioned in the 'Sound' section, especially in protection measures from honeybees and bumblebees. (2) Dementia is the first keywords. But there was little content reviewed on dementia in the article, while the section of 'scenario in Indian' does not interpret the effect of sensory garden on dementia. I suggest modifying the keywords.	
Optional/General comments	(1) The name of Table 3 was 'List of plants for attracting avians'. But the 'Attracting'part actually contains birds as well as bees and cricket. The names should to be changed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xiaoyong Yuan
Department, University & Country	Peking University First Hospital, China