

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_90007
Title of the Manuscript:	A Medical Look at the Elderly in the Hospices of the City of Kisangani in The Democratic Republic of Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The last paragraph in the introduction should give the reader more insight into your study. Based on previous studies, what are your hypotheses/expectations for each of the things you are examining?2. The authors are supposed to explore them and enlarge the discussion in terms of possible mechanisms involved as changes were observed. The discussion section is repetitive when compared to the results section. This duplication should be eliminated.3. Conclusion: Add suggestions and the actual necessities for future study.4. The technique statement was not reported and selection of participants properly. Ethical clearance is necessary when you use the case study of the patient- statement not justified whether approved.5. In my opinion, the manuscript is acceptable for publication	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The technique statement was not reported and selection of participants properly. Ethical clearance is necessary when you use the case study of the patient- statement not justified whether approved.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. N. Nirmala
Department, University & Country	Vels Insitute of Science.Technology and Advanced Studies, India