

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJNFS_90395   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Extraction and characterisation of flavoured tobacco by GC-MS studies and validation of results by GC-FID to investigate hazardous flavour ingredients |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | 1)The title page should contain a brief title, name(s) of author(s) and their affiliations.The name of the corresponding author should be indicated along with telephone and fax numbers (with country and area code) along with full postal address and e-mail address.<br>2)Check for grammar mistakes.<br>3)Tables and figures are to be quoted in text also.<br>4)Results should be properly tabulated.<br>5)Data should be diagrammatically represented along with results.<br>6)Scientific names should be in italics.<br>7) Reference should be square brackets throughout paper.<br>8) Positive and negative controls should be tabulated and should be analysed with reasons that might increase or decrease in values.<br>9) How standard is reflecting on evaluation of data should be properly discussed.<br>10) Along with high yielding best results, low yielding results should be discussed and reason for high and low yields should be analysed and discussed.<br>11) A brief acknowledgment section is needed.<br>12) Check author guidelines for references, declaration of AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS, CONSENT, ETHICAL APPROVAL. |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | 1) Paragraph spacing should be properly adjusted.<br>2) Spacing between words should be properly checked.<br>3) Capital letters should be followed in the same format in tabular forms.<br>4)Fullstop should be kept after brackets were closed for Reference<br>While writing<br>5) Short forms should not be used such as @  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | 1) Highlighted green-need to be corrected.<br>2) Highlighted yellow-already corrected.   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Surya Satya Sravanthi Pammi           |
| Department, University & Country | SVRK Government Degree College, India |