

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_84625
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE QUALITY OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES IN SAPELE, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is neither a review paper nor a research paper. It is just a test report of some types of fuels sold in the Nigerian market. The test results as presented lack clarity and are sometimes meaningless. For example, Fig. 2 shows what is called concentration, whatever this may mean. but the figure is purported to refer to specific gravity. API gravity and specific gravity are correlated and to give both is rather superfluous. No units are given for the viscosity measurements! I would have thought that the test results would have been better compared with Nigerian specifications.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hassan Al-Haj Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Al-Baath University, Syria