

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_84625
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE QUALITY OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES IN SAPELE, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(1)The abstract was poorly written. The abstract should have a general opening statement summarizing a general background to the study, aims and objectives, materials and methods, results and conclusions. (2)You need revise and add more details in the introduction. (3) The field investigation is incomplete. The study should be technically sound and robust. (4) You should improve your map quality. (5) The results are poorly presented. (6) Insufficient referencing.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Blessing James
Department, University & Country	Euro-Mediterranean University of Fes (UEMF), Morocco