

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_90552
Title of the Manuscript:	Serratia nevei 9rpt1 a potential microorganism for phosphorus recovery
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> English language needs further attention. Paragraphing is not appropriate (multiple paragraph). Avoid using first-person dialogs in the text; e.g., we... The following sentence belongs to the Results rather than Introduction because this not a "case report"; instead, last paragraph of the Introduction must contain the aim of the study: "In this sense we report our searches for <i>Serratia nevei</i> 9rpt1, a strain able to recover 90% of the phosphate available in the culture medium and harboring two copies of <i>pst</i> operon, one complete and one containing only <i>pstA</i> and <i>pstB</i> genes." Sampling was odd as the enrichment media was LB with no further biochemical verification tests! The whole bacterial sampling methodology must clearly be explained. The following methodology must be further explained: "Some of these colonies were random isolated and tested to microdetermination of remaining phosphorus". All the manufacturers' country of origin must be addressed (e.g., Thermo-Fisher, USA). 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramin Mazaheri Nezhad Fard
Department, University & Country	Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran