

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_89848
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AN ASSESSMENT OF THE RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE OF THIRD YEAR COLLEGE STUDENTS OF CATHOLIC COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES OF THE THREE DIOCESES IN NEGROS OCCIDENTAL: A BASIS FOR RELIGIOUS EDUCATION PROGRAM</b>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author has written a good paper that will be useful in the field of knowledge. The objective is clear, the sections are well arranged and the references are okay.</p> <p>However, I have the following observations which I believe the author needs to work on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Using the table to write the questions and titles of the questionnaire</li><li>2- Data analysis should be displayed in graphs and tables</li><li>3- Check the grammar of the article</li><li>4- Please in Introduction find some relevant studies to propose the gap of the study between your research and others.</li><li>5- Please compare your findings to the relevant studies.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Shamsaei
Department, University & Country	Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran