

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_89155
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EVALUATION OF BUILT ENVIRONMENT STUDENTS' TRAINING IN BUILDING MAINTENANCE (A case study of federal polytechnics in Ogun State, Nigeria)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The structure of the discussion of the study results should be discussed in detail based on the objective arrangement in the paper and not just based on tables and diagrams only. In fact, the analysis is only based on the results of the relative index of importance (RII) combined which is difficult to understand with the results of the study. A detailed discussion should also be referred to the results of the study rather than separately from the analysis of the study.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The discussion structure of the literature review should be discussed separately and not combined together in the discussion of the introductory subtitle.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The discussion structure of the study results should be discussed and reviewed in detail based on the objective arrangement in this paper.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Saidin Misnan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia