

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_90352
Title of the Manuscript:	“EFFECT OF DIFFERENT RATES OF LIQUID TRICHODERMA ON GROWTH ENHANCEMENT OF TISSUE CULTURED ABACA SEEDLINGS”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o velt y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Overall, authors must comply with the Journal guidelines in writing the manuscript;</li> <li>2. Update the bibliographic citations, which is important to enhance the study. This applies to introduction and discussion;</li> <li>3. Introduction should be better described;</li> <li>4. Improve the methodology. The text referring to the methodology must be well described to inform the reader of the procedures used;</li> <li>5. In the methodology, in Experiment Design, I suggest that you use dilutions instead of 50ml of Liquid Trichoderma/1L of water;</li> <li>6. Overall, the methodology should be well reviewed;</li> <li>7. The results must be further explored. Improve the texts referring to the results;</li> <li>8. In the discussion, the text should be better prepared to value the work. Authors should further discuss the results that were obtained before comparing them with published studies;</li> <li>9. Improve resolution and presentation of graphics;</li> <li>10. Figures should show DesPad and other statistical information. Important for the reader and to give scientific robustness to the study;</li> <li>11. Statistical part in the figures must be clear</li> <li>12. Legends of figures and tables should be more explanatory. Improve texts;</li> <li>13. Check formatting of references according to the Journal guidelines</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The subject studied is very interesting and very relevant. However, the authors do not explore or deepen the description of the results and the discussion. The manuscript needs robust scientific and technical writing.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jorge Alberto López
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil