

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_90359
Title of the Manuscript:	Foreign body aspiration in the pediatric population in Kuwait: experience of 901 cases
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper deals with foreign body aspiration in children. It points out the clinical and radiological predictors of a positive rigid bronchoscopy. The study is interesting, however there are some missing data: 1/ the nature of the aspirated foreign bodies (vegetal, metallic...) 2/ the cases of residual foreign bodies (their number, presentation...) 3/ the complications of the bronchoscopy (It is important to add this in order to show that it is an invasive procedure and it has to be well indicated to avoid unnecessary urgent rigid bronchoscopy as the authors mentioned in the conclusion).	
Minor REVISION comments	1/ The minimum age of this pathology should be changed (in the introduction section "0-3 years" and in the results and Table 1 "0-24 months"). In fact, it often occurs after the age of 6 months. 2/ In "Table 1, Presentation": it's better to sort the items in a descendant order (Cough, Witnessed Aspiration, History of choking, Stridor and Respiratory distress). 3/ In the discussion section: the paper contains some typos : - "Diagnosis of foreign body aspiration have been proved historically to be" please change "have" to "has" - "Positive bronchoscopy with suspected foreign body cases confirmed in 44.7% of the cases." please change the sentence to "Bronchoscopy confirmed the suspected foreign body in 44.7% of the cases." 4/ Please explain the abbreviation "CDH criteria" in the conclusion section.	
Optional/General comments	The paper emphasized the increase of the total number of rigid bronchoscopies over time while positive results were decreasing. It also stressed the importance of a thorough history, a careful physical examination, and a proper imaging interpretation to avoid unnecessary bronchoscopy.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samia Hamouda
Department, University & Country	University El Manar, Tunisia