

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_89573
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' KNOWLEDGE OF INSECTICIDES USAGE AND HAZARD IN SOME SELECTED COMMUNITIES IN DANKO/WASAGU LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF KEBBI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The research article lacks of recent references that support the research work2. Must be Correct all comments	
Minor REVISION comments	Must be Correct all comments	
Optional/General comments	In spite the work is of interest, substantive concerns were raised that suggest that: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The paper does not fulfil the publication requirements for Journal that is, that papers must be technically sound in method and analysis.2. Unfortunately, these reservations are sufficiently important to preclude the publication of this study in a scientific journal (lack in recent references, poor descriptive the issue in Nigeria with no supportive data about issues, poor tables plus no descriptive diagram clarify data collected ,etc..)3. The manuscript must be rewritten following according scientific bases.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashraf Mohamed Barakat
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt