

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_90606
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Educational Planning in Ensuring Quality Education in Morogoro Municipality
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic was a well thought one with good abstract. All the same, a lot of work needs to be done by the author.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author should increase the key words to at least five 2. The 1st paragraph of the introduction should be deleted and applied as advised (see main text). 3. The author needs to overhaul the introduction and from it create the statement of the problem (see main text) 4. The author should come up with the research objectives and if possible questions guiding the study. 5. Create a heading for literature review (See instruction in the main text) 6. The author should create the sub-heading; 'Data presentation and analysis, for proper analysis of data and after which, the sub, Discussion of results. This means that discussion of results should come after data presentation and analysis 7. Inconsistency in the citation of journal volumes and issues so, the author should look at this so as to follow the journal style of citation. 8. Generally, the author should delete underlined words as they are not relevant as well as go through the whole work for minor grammatical errors. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onwubiko Emmanuel Chidiadi
Department, University & Country	Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria