

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90264
Title of the Manuscript:	Usefulness of Mobile Phone based Agro-advisories in Manipur
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add the location of study <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Add 1-2 sentences describing the methods taken to get the results presented in the subsequent lines 12-18 3. Add 1-2 sentences explaining the conclusion and recommendation from the study based on the findings/data presented. <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Some minor corrections and comments made directly into the manuscript using track changes. The author(s) should consider addressing the comments and making all the mandatory revisions. <p>Methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. GenStat Statistical software was used to analyses the data. The data from the responses were computed using mean, frequency and percentages functions in GenStat. The significance level was determined at 95% confidence intervals. <p>Results and discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The results are well-presented but the section lacks the discussion component. I suggest as below. <p>Option 1: In this section, you have only presented the results. There is no Discussion component; please include statements relating your results/data/finding to present or past studies from the literature.</p> <p>Option 2: (Strongly recommended) If not so, create another section for discussion, and make 2-3 paragraph relating your results to literature; the results could be consistent or differing with the results from similar studies. At the end of each statement, state the reasons why they are similar or different in comparison to the literature.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ssemwanga Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Uganda