

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_89954
Title of the Manuscript:	Scientific communication on artificial intelligence: the question of the social status of the biodroid
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	THE PARAGRAPH MENTIONED AT SECTION 3 CONCLUSIVE OPEN QUESTION Therefore, it seems important to socialize the question of the social status of the biodroid endowed with Artificial Intelligence, autonomous thinking and self-awareness, via interdisciplinary scientific communication and public communication too. NEEDS TO BE REFINED AS THE SIMILAR TEXT SEEMS TO BE FROM ALREADY PUBLISHED WORK AVAILABLE AT WWW.SCIENCE-AND-YOU.COM References need to be mentioned in a uniform format.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudasir M. Kirmani
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, India