

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_89954
Title of the Manuscript:	Scientific communication on artificial intelligence: the question of the social status of the biodroid
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The abstract is general and looks like an introduction to a report and does not give a full impression of the research idea and does not highlight any important results.The objectives of this research are not clear. The authors need to explain exactly what the aim of this research is.In the Introduction section, the authors should mention their unique interpretation of the research along with a comparative analysis of existing published research to improve their work. Authors can focus on referring more recent journal papers to include further study.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">It is clear that the authors have included a number of questions about the application of artificial intelligence and robotics, but the points of view of other studies that dealt with these questions were not included and discussed.There were some grammatical errors and typos.	
Optional/General comments	Title: It fits the topic of the research	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Jassim Mohammad
Department, University & Country	Ninevah University, Iraq