

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_78228
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE KNOWLEDGE AND PRACTICE OF MOTHERS ON EXCLUSIVE BREASTFEEDING IN THE DOHINAYILI COMMUNITY, NORTHERN REGION, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors presented a well-argued, well-presented, and well-done work. The results showed that it is important to increase the information that lactating mothers receive, particularly for those who work, in relation to that they can store their milk, and can be used it to feed their babies while they work. The lactating mother's knowledge of exclusive breast feeding were favourable. However, something interesting is that despite of this, they were not related to its practice. Studying the factors that influence the practice of exclusive breastfeeding in children under two years of age in different regions of a country is relevant to know what could be done to favor it.	
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest that the authors order the references in alphabetical order. The authors divided the references into two parts, they must correct this error.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Ethical issues were not detected.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Cristina Gonzalez-Torres
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Mexico