

**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_66680
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Metallic Contamination in Soils and Sediments in the Bétaré-Oya Gold Artisanal Mine District, East-Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript is well written, and significant information is present.</p> <p>Tables should be corrected. "<b>exploited soils</b>" should be start with capital "Exploite..."</p> <p>Without statistical information in bar diagram, it would be difficult to understand the significant level. So my suggestion is to authors kindly do the necessary statistics.</p> <p>Again, correlation table lack of statistical information. Without statistical information correlation table is invalid. So please do the needful.</p> <p>Most of the citation is old, and introduction portion is weak. Author must add the following recent citations like ...</p> <p>(1) Lead Toxicity: Health Hazards, Influence on Food Chain, and Sustainable Remediation Approaches;</p> <p>(2) Bio-remediation approaches for alleviation of cadmium contamination in natural resources;</p> <p>(3) Nickel in terrestrial biota: Comprehensive review on contamination, toxicity, tolerance and its remediation approaches;</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Raju Mondal
Department, University & Country	Central Sericultural Germplasm Resources Center (CSGRC), India