

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_54062
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and evaluation of multifunctional tillage implement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please search more relative references of tillage implement in recent years.2. Draw a flowchart of the key steps of the experiment to enhance the readability of the manuscript. As in the fourth part of the manuscript.3. Some data is not clear, such as 4.8, 4.9, it is recommended to supplement the data chart.	
Minor REVISION comments	Multiple experiments can be performed under different meteorological conditions. I believe that soil conditions in different climatic conditions will affect the tillage of the tractor.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It is recommended to use portable mounting equipment to apply this conversion to many types of tractors on the market.2. In view of the complexity and variability of the farmland environment, a wider speed should be selected during the cultivation process, and it is recommended to increase the type of measurement speed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wei Lu
Department, University & Country	Nanjing Agricultural University, China