

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108023
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphometric study of Greater wax moth (<i>Galleria mellonella</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The proposed article is important for the scientific community. However, there are some parts need to be reworked.</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, however, may be add some important features of greater wax moth.</p> <p>4. Introduction rewrite, materials and methods, results and discussion parts some references are missing. Needs to go through review before being published.</p> <p>5. The manuscript not as per journal guidelines. I suggest a minor modification: Exploring Developmental Stages and Morphological Characteristics of Juveniles in great wax moth, (<i>Galleria mellonella</i> L.). The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. It's important to pay attention to the suggestions provided.</p> <p>6- The references not sufficient and add some recent references. Suggestions in the comments indicated in the article text in the attached file, it is up to the authors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I recommend accept the manuscript after making the corrections in the text.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Valuable work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suday Prasad
Department, University & Country	VKS College of Agriculture, Bihar Agricultural University, India