

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_103337
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CHALLENGE OF TRANSFER OF THE MEANING OF CULTURAL TERMS IN TRANSLATION: THE CASE OF THINGS FALL APART (1958) OF CHINUA ACHEBE
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is not too important for scientific community but it is only need to know. The topic not too global. Only some people know and understand well about the topic. The impact is only some people feel curious about it.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>There is no problem with the abstract.</p> <p>It's ok.</p> <p>some parts of the manuscript describe the author's opinion and still use personal pronouns</p> <p>The references are ok but some references (I think they are main references) is taken from second writer. It will be better if use original reference (first writer). Please check how to write references part and some notes in manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>there are some terms that are not given an explanation. As a result, some readers (in general) will be confuse and difficult to understand the purpose of the article.</p> <p>Besides that, there are some too long sentences. Please make it simple so that it will be understand easily.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eny Sulistyaningsih
Department, University & Country	Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia