

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGMB_107096
Title of the Manuscript:	Moringa - The Miracle Tree: An Overview of its Nutritional and Medicinal Properties
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>REVIEW comments required</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) A/ this manuscript is important for the scientific community. But the authors did not reference the literature review. The entire article does not have a reference in the text. Only at the end do they include a list of references. 2. Is the article title appropriate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title) R/ how review could be a good article. Without references in the collection of information, the article is without the possibility of publishing. 3. Is the article summary comprehensive? A/ no, the article does not provide an adequate summary of this research. 4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript adequate? r/ very separate in sub-sections, but without references, in each items treated. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? R/ No, It Is Incorrect Because It Does Not Reference The Information Chosen For Its Preparation. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention in the review form. R/ the references informed are insufficient for this type of review article on a topic. Only inform 15 references. <p>(In addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> <p>A/ the authors did not review the article before it was sent, so it was wrong from the beginning to the end. It must be reformulated and referenced. Expand research on the topic and complete the information.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Minor REVIEW comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for academic communications? <p>A/ the english language used in the manuscript is adequate and allows communication with the academic community.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez
Department, University & Country	Havana University, Cuba