

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_107094
Title of the Manuscript:	GMOs food (Genetically Modified Organisms) collision on human body; pros and cons
Type of the Article	Review Paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for systematizing the subject of biosafety of genetically modified organisms, but this was done in a truncated manner without widely debating the problem. 2. The title is informative, but the acronym does not exactly correspond to the definition 3. The abstract is comprehensive but it is incorrect and need to be completely rewritten. It present references (not allowed) and it is an introduce of the manuscript, not an abstract 4. The subsections are appropriate, but it is not acceptable section with only a table or a figure. Tables and figures need to be cited in text and cannot replace the text 5. The points raised by the manuscript are correct, but lack up-to-date scientific understanding 6. References are not updated 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The English is not incorrect, but it is important to review it to scientific terms	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The problematic and the history are not updated 2. Biological understanding of the classification of living beings and the impact of each issue sensitive to GMOs is poor 3. Medical and ecological issues were not explored beyond extreme superficiality 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leonardo Sartori Menegatto
Department, University & Country	Ribeirão Preto Medical College, University of São Paulo, Brazil